

CLARIFICATION#5 AGAINST TENDER # PROC-SERVICES/CB/RMD-4699/2020: RESERVES EVALUATION STUDY-2020

Following Clarifications have been made in the subject tender:-

S. No.	Bidder's query	OGDCL reply
1	OGDCL make reference to SEC and PRMS, so it is not clear whether OGDCL want to use both guidelines (and end up with two value of 1P) or else.	End up with PRMS based values.
2	Has there been any additional discovery since OGDCL made the list (Dhok Hussain, Mangrio, Pandhi, Metlo, Togh, Umair); if yes, how many and which ones?	No, but we hope that we may get more 4-8 discoveries till finalization of draft report and these additional will also be the part of study. Our understanding is that the well spudded on 30 June 2020 and if it discovered before submission of draft report should be the part of evaluation.
3	Are they currently drilling exploration wells and/or will they drill explo wells in the coming months? If yes, how many wells?	Presently 8 Exploratory wells & 5 Development wells are under drilling
4	Will OGDCL provide the past reserves reports related to the past evaluations?	Yes will provide to the final contractor. (plz see OGDCL website where these type of Qs are replied many times)
5	Together with the reports (internal or external), will OGDCL provide the actual seismic interpretation models, geological models, dynamic models? If yes, which software are OGDCL using?	Exploratory Blocks evaluation is no in SOW. However, if Consultant ask about the actual seismic interpretation Will be provided with some constraints. (plz refer OGDCL website where these type of Qs are replied many times e.g softwares etc)
6	Clarifications provided indicate additional details of the fields (ie no of wells per field) can be found in the Pakistan Energy Yearbook 2019. It appears only the 2018 version is available and only in print, which we anticipate cannot be delivered in time to be useful for the bid process. Is there a digital link to the yearbook that can be provided?	This info is in preparation & will be provided in a week time through OGDCL tender portal.
7	OGDCL mention (point 8-9) "incremental" and "cumulative" evaluation: do OGDCL want both approaches or OGDCL want either/or,	Both. That does not need any complex methodology, its only summation/aggregation etc.

	with liberty to the evaluator to select the most appropriate method?	
8	OGDCL have requested a FMV report: do OGDCL wish to have it at asset level or at corporate level? In the second case, we'll need to have access to P&Ls and other financial information of the last years.	Asset (hydrocarbon) level, at OGDCL W.I % levels in each Op & non-Op fields, at 10% & 18% discount rate levels.
9	It is specified that OGDCL need the CPR "at the initial stage of the reserves evaluation study"; the CPR is the outcome of the study, so cannot be provided at the initial stage. Please clarify.	Please re-study the TOR (refer posted clarifications also), where OGDCL has emphasized as: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In first stage new discoveries evaluation (discovered after 30 June 2017) about 10 fields. 2. CPR of main Clustered projects which covers 80% of the reserves. 3. Remaining fields Evaluation. 4. FMV 5. Executive Summary that covers above all evaluations.
10	Due to the worldwide pandemic and limitations on international travel, do OGDCL envisage data collection in other way than sending a team to Islamabad?	Yes. (plz refer OGDCL website where these type of Qs are replied many times)
11	Considering the worldwide pandemic and limitations on international travel, it is very difficult to be able to host the OGDCL reps in our offices. Can we highlight alternative ways to transfer skills/ownership etc. we could propose an online seminar on reserves, and/or online sessions to go through some specific aspects of our work with one of our experts?	Propose both aspects please.
12	Scope of Work paragraph (5) indicates that production forecasts should include WHFP. Please advise frequency and format of historical wellhead pressures? Are these manual pressure readings or digital and does this apply to all fields? If the same pressure reading methodology does not apply to all please advise how many fields are manual and how many are digital?	In both formats available. Mainly required for Gas fields.

13	Scope of work paragraph (12) requires a CPR at initial stages of the evaluation. Is this report intended to be the same report identified in paragraph 4 of the Introduction section? (to include newly discovered fields after the Reserves Evaluation Report 2017? If these are different reports, are we correct in assuming the report of new fields is to be included in the CPR report?	OGDCL prefers as below: <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. In first stage new discoveries evaluation (discovered after 30 June 2017) about 10 fields.2. CPR of main Clustered projects which covers 80% of the reserves.3. Remaining fields Evaluation.4. FMV5. Executive Summary that covers above all evaluations.
----	---	---