OIL & GAS DEVELOPMENT COMPANY LIMITED



TENDER ENQUIRY NO. PROC-SERVICES/CB/RMD-4941/2021

<u>KPD & TAY INTEGRATED RESERVOIR SIMULATION STUDY &</u> <u>NETWORK MODELING</u>

(Kunnar Deep, Pasakhi Deep, Pasakhi West Deep, Kunnar West, Pasakhi NE, Thora Deep, Moolan, Tando Allah Yar, Tando Allah Yar North, Tando Allah Yar South West, Chandio, Unnar, Shah, Kunnar South, Pasakhi East, Dars, Dars Deep, Dars West and Saand, Sial)

Note:

Bid bond of **USD 20,000/- (US Dollar Twenty Thousand Only)** to be submitted with the technical bid.

The master set of tender documents (services) uploaded on OGDCL website (<u>www.ogdcl.com</u>) is the integral part of this TOR.

Section – 1: Introduction

1. Project Overview

Oil and Gas Development Company Limited (OGDCL) is the operator of Kunnar Pasakhi Deep & Tando Allah Yar (KPD-TAY) project. The project is located in the lower Indus basin, a proven hydrocarbon province; geographically in Hyderabad & Tando Allah Yar Districts, Sindh, Pakistan.



Figure 1 Location Map of KPD-TAY Fields

This project consists of nineteen small to medium sized fields and a total of 45 wells. For the sake of simplicity project is sub-divided into three divisions.

- 1. Kunnar Pasakhi Deep (KPD) Fields
- 2. Tando Allah Yar and Nim Block Fields
- 3. KPD Satellite Fields

All the fields in this project produce from various sands of Lower Goru formation, a generalized stratigraphic column of which is given below in Figure 2.



1.1. Kunnar – Pasakhi Deep Fields (KPD Fields)

Historically, OGDCL has produced Oil from Upper Sands (Lower Goru Formation) level in Kunnar & Pasakhi Oil fields since late 1980s, however, description and working on these oil fields is beyond the scope of work of this study. OGDCL discovered gas reservoirs in 2005 by drilling of Kunnar Deep-1 at Massive sands (Lower Goru Formation) level followed by Pasakhi Deep-1 (2005), Kunnar West-1A (2007) and Pasakhi West Deep-1 (2008). This deeper set of fields consist of four gas fields namely Kunnar Deep, Kunnar West, Pasakhi Deep and Pasakhi West Deep, some of which appear to be in hydrodynamic communication. These fields are relatively larger in size compared to other two categories. Kunnar Deep is the largest one followed by Pasakhi Deep, Kunnar West & Pasakhi West Deep. All four fields produce from Massive sands of Lower Goru formation and 100% owned by OGDCL.

1.2. Tando Allah Yar & Nim Block Fields

1.2.1. Tando Allah Yar Block

Tando Allah Yar Exploration License (TAY EL) was granted to OGDCL & GHPL on September 27, 1997, OGDCL being the operator. OGDCL holds 95% & 77.5% working interest pre-commerciality respectively while GHPL holds 5% (carried) & 22.5% working interest pre-commerciality & post-commerciality respectively. Tando Allah Yar was the first oil & gas field discovered in this EL in 1998 by successful drilling & testing of Tando Allah Yar-1 in Upper sands of Lower Goru formation. Since then OGDCL drilled 26 wells (23 Exploratory & 03 Appraisal) making eleven (11) discoveries i.e. Chandio, Dars, Dars Deep, Dars West, Tando Allah Yar (TAY), TAY North (TAYN), TAY South West (TAYSW), Kunnar South, Pasakhi East, Unnar & Shah. All these discoveries produce from various sands of Lower Goru formation, a prolific reservoir target in the area. Most of these discoveries were brought on production in January 2017 through KPD-TAY Integrated Plant. The most challenging aspect of TAY EL discoveries is variety of reservoir fluids and diversity of reservoir sands.

1.2.2. Nim Block

Nim Exploration License (Nim EL) was granted to OGDCL & GHPL on December 29, 1999. OGDCL holds 95% & 77.5% working interest pre-commerciality & post-commerciality respectively while GHPL holds 5% (carried) & 22.5% working interest pre-commerciality & post-commerciality respectively. OGDCL being the operator has drilled 18 wells so far in this block (17 Exploratory & 01 Appraisal) making ten (10) discoveries including Saand Gas Field (02 wells). As Saand Gas Field is in the vicinity of Tando Allah Yar facilities, so it will be produced through KPD-TAY Integrated Plant.

1.3. KPD Satellite Fields

These are Moolan & Thora Deep gas fields, 100% OGDCL owned, located around KPD-TAY area. These fields belong to two separate leases i.e. Moolan belongs to Lashari Centre & South D&PL while Thora Deep belongs to Thora & Thora East D&PL. Both Lashari Center & Thora are oil fields at shallower horizons and produce through TOC (Tando Alam Oil Complex) Facilities. However, Moolan & Thora Deep Gas fields, owing to their closer proximity to KPD-TAY Integrated plant & processing capacity of the said plant are being produced through it.

Daily offtake is around 220 – 230 MMscfd raw gas, 200 – 205 MMscfd Sales gas, 3400 STBD condensate and 255 MTD LPG from KPD-TAY plant.

Project divisions are further elaborated in Figure 3.





KPD-TAY Project Divisions

2. Technical Approach



Figure 4 Study Structure

According to conceived study concept (as given in Figure 4), there will be one static model & one dynamic model (for Kunnar Deep, Pasakhi Deep & surrounding fields), Individual field material balance models (for all fields belonging to KPD, TAY & Nim blocks and KPD Satellite) and corresponding surface network models. The concept is further elaborated as follows;

2.1. A static model of Kunnar Deep, Pasakhi Deep, Kunnar West, Pasakhi West Deep, Pasakhi North East, Pasakhi East, Shah, Kunnar South Sial, and Unnar fields leading to properly history matched dynamic & network models shall be built. Material balance models for individual fields shall also be built.

- 2.2. Complete G&G working of Dars, Dars Deep, Dars West, Tando Allah Yar, Tando Allah Yar North, Chandio, TAY SW & Saand leading to properly history matched material balance and Network models shall be carried out.
- 2.3. Complete G&G working and properly history matched Material balance models of Thora Deep& Moolan fields leading surface network model shall be built.
- 2.4. Surface network models of above three divisions (KPD, KPD Satellites & TAY-Nim Block fields) will be merged together to build an integrated Network model for the whole KPD-TAY Project.
- 2.5. All the work mentioned above shall be performed in accordance with the scope of work given in following sections.
- 2.6. The Study will be completed in five (05) **phases** as follows:
 - i. Seismic & Petrophysical interpretation, static modeling and Volumetric Estimation
 - ii. Basic Reservoir Engineering Analyses i.e. Rock & Fluid properties, RTA, PTA, Material Balance (Tank) modeling and estimation of aquifer strength if any.
 - iii. Dynamic modelling, History match
 - iv. Production Forecasting for various development options
 - v. Network modeling of KPD & TAY and their merger with network model of Satellite fields to build an integrated network model of whole project.

Section – 2: Kunnar – Pasakhi Deep Gas Fields

1. Fields' Introduction

1.1. Kunnar Deep

Kunnar Deep Gas Field was discovered in 2005 with successful testing of Kunnar Deep-1 in massive sands of the Lower Goru formation. The field was fully appraised with 5 wells and 5 Development wells were drilled later on. Kunnar Deep-1 has produced 22.6 MMscfd Gas, 195 STBD Condensate & 23 STBD Water against 3350 psi WHFP at 32/64" Choke size during post completion test. Regular Production from this field started in January 2012. At present 10 wells are producing while one well is shut in. Currently the field is producing 91.6 MMscfd Gas, 888 STBD Condensate & 321 STBD Water. As of September 2020, it has recovered 256 Bscf Gas and 2316 MSTB condensate.

1.2. Kunnar West

Kunnar West is an extension of Kunnar Deep structure and was discovered in 2007 by exploratory well, "Kunnar West-1A". Initially Kunnar West-1 (Deviated) was drilled in 2006 where Basal Sands were tested with DST. However, no formation fluid was observed at surface therefore, well was declared as dry. Later, Kunnar West-1A was drilled where Massive Sands of the Lower Goru Formation proved to be productive. One (1) Development well was drilled later on. Kunnar west-1 has produced 11.02 MMscfd Gas, 170 STBD Condensate & 20 STBD Water against 2480 psi WHFP at 32/64" Choke size during initial testing. Regular Production from this field started in April 2014. At present only one well i.e. Kunnar West-1A is producing while Kunnar West-2 is awaiting Connection. Currently, the field is producing 11.8 MMscfd Gas, 110 STBD Condensate & 30 STBD Water. As of September 2020, it has recovered 23.5 Bscf Gas and 239 MSTB condensate.

1.3. Pasakhi Deep

Pasakhi Deep Gas Field was discovered in 2005 with successful exploratory well Pasakhi Deep-1 from massive sands of the Lower Goru formation. Two Appraisal were drilled in 2008 & 2010 and later four (04) Development wells were added to the structure. Pasakhi Deep-1 has produced 15.4 MMscfd Gas, 150 STBD Condensate & 35 STBD Water against 3155 psi WHFP at 32/64" Choke size during DST-3. Regular Production from this field started in January 2012. At present 5 wells are producing (Pasakhi Deep-1, 2, 3, 4 & 5) while other wells are shut in. Currently the field is producing 35.8 MMscfd Gas, 347 STBD Condensate & 134 STBD Water. As of September 2020, it has recovered 99 Bscf Gas and 838 MSTB condensate.

1.4. Pasakhi West Deep

Pasakhi West Deep Gas Field was discovered in May 2009 with successful testing of Pasakhi West Deep-1 (PSKWD-1) across Massive sands of Lower Goru formation during DST-2 however it didn't flow in DST-1 (also Massive Sand). The well is completed across tested zone of DST-2. The well produced 5.9 MMscfd Gas, 85 STBD Condensate & 125 STBD Water against 1450 psi WHFP at 32/64" Choke size during post completion test. Regular Production from this field started in January 2017 during commissioning phase of KPD-TAY Integrated plant. Currently the well is shut in. A development well, Pasakhi West Deep-2, has recently been drilled. During DST, in Massive Sands, the well produced 12.2 MMscfd Gas, 110 STBD condensate & 75 STBD Water against 2185 psi WHFP at 32/64" choke size. The well has been completed in the tested interval of Massive sands and shut in at present. As of September 2020, Pasakhi West Deep field has produced 527 MMscf Gas and 7.9 MSTB condensate.

1.5. Pasakhi North East

Pasakhi North East-1 was drilled in August 2006 in a structural extension of the main Pasakhi structure. Well was drilled down to 3555 m across LG formation. Three DSTs were performed, DST-1 & 2 in Massive Sands while DST-3 in Upper sands. In DST-1, medium to strong gas

bubbles were observed with zero WHFP. In DST-2 in which the well produced 1130 STBD water with 5.4 MMscfd gas. DST-2 was repeated with PSP, in which the well produced 850 STBD water with 5.7 MMscfd gas while 7-10 MMscfd gas was estimated in PSP. DST-3 was carried out in upper sands, in which medium bubbles were observed in bucket, however during POOH wet pull (crude oil) was observed. Well was later completed with Jet pump in Upper Sands due to non-availability of gas processing facilities.

2. Concise Scope of Work

2.1. <u>Objective</u>

The main objective of this study is to carry out a detailed Simulation and Network modelling Study of KPD and surrounding TAY Block fields (Kunnar deep, Pasakhi Deep, Kunnar West, Pasakhi West Deep, Pasakhi North East, Pasakhi East, Shah, Kunnar South and Unnar) producing from Massive sands of Lower Goru Formation, in order to exploit the maximum possible reserves in the most economical way by incorporating available information from E&P domains (Geophysical, Petrophysical, Geological, Reservoir Engineering, Production engineering and facilities etc.).

2.2. Scope of Work

This Scope of Work shall be applied to KPD and surrounding TAY Block fields (as mentioned above).

- 2.2.1. Consultant will analyze the available geophysical, geological, core data, well logs, well structure and stratigraphic correlations/ cross sections, well tests data, BHP data (incl. MDTs), PVT data, production data, completion histories and all other relevant data related to the current or proposed well(s).
- 2.2.2. Consultant will carry out detailed seismic data interpretation of the 3D cube of area of interest at the TLG, Middle, Basal & Massive sand levels utilizing data from all the wells (D&A, P&A & Producers) falling in area of available seismic volume. However, the wells falling out of the fields under study (Figure 3 on page 9) will not be considered for detailed petrophysical evaluation relating to para 2.2.3 & 3.1.2 of this section and rest of the phases of G&G and Engineering. Consultant will use the available velocity data (VSP/ Well tops etc.) for depth conversion of the TWT surfaces. 3D Seismic volume available for interpretation in this study is about 650 Sq. Km for KPD, TAY and KPD Satellites out which ±100 Sq. Km will be utilized for static modeling. The consultant to generate seismic amplitude maps to identify sand progrades. Area Geophysicist shall vet consultant's Interpretation/ analysis/ working before proceeding further.
- 2.2.3. The integrated Petrophysical properties for all fields at various Lower Goru sand levels will be determined by the analysis of the wireline logs with incorporation of production, core and quantitative well log data. The objective of this analysis will be to determine the best possible evaluation of porosity, permeability, initial fluid saturations, fluid contacts, reducible & irreducible water saturations and total & residual hydrocarbon saturations. The consultant will develop well log correlations (Structural & Stratigraphic) across the length & breadth of the fields from Top Lower Goru (TLG) to top of Sembar Formation. Consultant's Petrophysical Interpretation/ analysis/ working shall be vetted by OGDCL's Petrophysicist before proceeding further.
- 2.2.4. Consultant should identify new conventional & unconventional prospects throughout Lower Goru Sequence. Potential Sand Stringers within all Shale Units of Lower Goru formation should keenly be evaluated.
- 2.2.5. The Consultant will build a new Geological Model (Static Model) for complete Lower Goru package including Sembar formation in Petrel software using the Geophysical, Geological and Petrophysical interpretations for all the fields. Consultant's Geological Interpretation/

analysis/ working shall be vetted by OGDCL's Area Development Geologist before proceeding ahead.

- 2.2.6. The Consultant will estimate layer-wise deterministic & Probabilistic Gas Initially in Place (GIIP) by volumetric method using newly generated Static Model for all producing/ tested formations in categories 1P, 2P and 3P in compliance with SPE PRMS. Consultant will also estimate volumetric in place for newly identified conventional/ Unconventional horizons/ sand packages in Petrophysical evaluation. Prospective resources should also be assigned to all the possible leads/ prospects in compliance with SPE PRMS. Consultant's Estimation/ working shall be vetted by OGDCL's Area Reservoir Engineer/ Geologist before proceeding ahead.
- 2.2.7. The Consultant will carry out basic Reservoir Engineering analysis including Rock & fluid properties for utilization in Tank & Simulation models. The consultant will use properly matched RTA (Rate Transient Analysis i.e. Fetkovich, Blasingame, FMB etc.) & PTA (Pressure Transient Analysis) to model reservoir behavior and calibrate material balance & well (IPR) models. Models used in PTA & RTA should be realistic and in agreement with the surface and subsurface available information. Number & choice of PTA and RTA candidates shall be made in consultation with OGDCL's Area Reservoir Engineer.
- 2.2.8. Consultant shall apply DCA and p/z techniques (Both well wise & field wise) for reserves & GIIP estimation. Consultant shall build properly history matched Material Balance Tank models (Havlena Odeh etc.) by using PETEX IPM software to estimate the GIIP and determine the drive mechanisms & their effect on the performance of reservoir(s). All the Estimations/ working pertaining to Basic Reservoir Engineering shall be vetted by OGDCL's Area Reservoir Engineer to proceed further.
- 2.2.9. Consultant will develop a representative 3D black oil reservoir dynamic model for all the reservoir levels/Sands in Petrel as interface and Intersect as simulator. Consultant shall properly initialize, and history match the fine scaled model in order to generate reliable predictions. History match shall be vetted by OGDCL's Area Reservoir Simulation Engineer to proceed further.
- 2.2.10. Any difference in GIIP from Static, Material balance and dynamic models as well as RTA should be resolved and final results to be summarized. This should be agreed upon by OGDCL's Area Reservoir Engineer before proceeding ahead.
- 2.2.11. The consultant will propose new development/ Infill well(s) locations based on their integrated analysis/ evaluation/ interpretation of static model, material balance model(s) & dynamic model in order to maintain production plateau rate.
- 2.2.12. The consultant will build well (IPR/ VLP) & Network models of KPD & surrounding TAY Block fields and integrate them with TAY block & KPD Satellite fields' network model to apply surface production conditions. Consultant will recommend optimization in the existing network in future after changes in well and reservoir behavior as predicted by material balance & dynamic models. This will be vetted by OGDCL's Area Reservoir Engineer.

- 2.2.13. The consultant will provide the optimum production operation conditions for maximum hydrocarbon recovery (i.e. Compression etc.). The Consultant will also provide forecast scenarios (Annual) including gas, oil and water recoveries with BHFP and WHFP by applying different operating/ development conditions till the ultimate recovery of the field.
- 2.2.14. In the Study, consultant should address following (but not limited to) regarding compression to maintain the plateau production rate:
 - i. Timeline of Compression for each field/ Well
 - ii. Estimated Ultimate Recovery (EUR) with/ without compression
 - iii. Production forecasts against suction pressures i.e. 1200, 1000, 800, 600, 400, 200& 50 psi.
 - iv. Comparison of EUR (of all fields) for Front End/ nodal compression vs wellhead compression scenarios
 - v. Required compression Nodes, Stages Capacity and timeline
 - vi. Possible modifications in current gathering system to accommodate stage wise compression
- 2.2.15. The consultant will submit techno-economical evaluation of the prediction scenarios along with recommendations.
- 2.2.16. Consultant shall select, in consultation with OGDCL's Reservoir Engineers assigned for this project, key wells for radial modeling to assess water coning phenomenon and define threshold(s) for future operation.
- 2.2.17. At the end consultant will provide a resource summary consisting of Reserves, Contingent resources and Prospective resources in three categories (1P, 2P, 3P etc.) in compliance with SPE PRMS. Resource volumes and economics shall be vetted by OGDCL's Area Reservoir Engineer.
- 2.2.18. The Study will be completed in five (05) **sub-phases** as follows:
 - i. Seismic & Petrophysical interpretation, static modeling and Volumetric Estimation
 - ii. Basic Reservoir Engineering Analyses i.e. Rock & Fluid properties, RTA, PTA, Material Balance (Tank) modeling
 - iii. Dynamic modelling, History match
 - iv. Production Forecasting for various development options
 - v. Network modeling of KPD & TAY and their merger with network model of Satellite fields to build an integrated network model of whole project.

3. Detail Scope of Work for Study Sub-Phases

3.1. Seismic & Petrophysical interpretation and Static Modeling

3.1.1. Seismic & Geological Analysis/ Interpretation

- 3.1.1.1. Structural and stratigraphic interpretation of the structure is to be carried out by using 3D seismic data and by establishing best well to seismic ties utilizing data from all the wells (D&A, P&A & Producers) falling in area of available seismic volume. Time to depth conversion would be carried out by using number of methodologies in order to build structure models and to establish best history match in dynamic modeling. Time and Depth structure maps would be generated on main producing reservoir sands as well as prospective reservoir levels (i.e. Ranikot, Upper sands (TLG, B or C Sand), Middle Sand, Basal Sand, Massive Sands, Sembar formation & Chiltan formation). Seismic interpretation should be performed on industry standard interpretation software i.e. Petrel G&G.
- 3.1.1.2. 3D volumes/Horizon Seismic attribute analysis would be carried out to establish relationship with Petrophysical parameters of the subsurface. The relationship so established would be used to reinforce future drilling/EOR opportunities.
- 3.1.1.3. Geological model/ models should be established to determine the pertinent geological features and geometry of the reservoirs in sufficient details to allow an adequate description of the reservoirs for reservoir simulation purposes. The available data will be provided to help in evaluation of geological interpretation and geological setting of the area.
- 3.1.1.4. The seismic interpretation should be carried out with complete involvement of OGDCL Geophysicist and all the aspects of seismic interpretation shall be approved by OGDCL Geophysicist before moving ahead.
- 3.1.1.5. Geological aspect (Structural/ Stratigraphic Cross Sections/ Correlations) needs to be discussed and approved by OGDCL Development Geologist. OGDCL may like to receive Structural/Stratigraphic Cross Sections/Correlations on OGDCL's approved format.
- 3.1.1.6. Geological model should be prepared using industry standard software i.e. Petrel G&G.
- 3.1.1.7. After completion/finalization of the Models, import the available data in the Geological Modeling software i.e. Petrel G&G (Also compatible with DSG), Integrate/ calibrate the interpreted seismic data (such as structural features/ faults and picked horizons) with the well data.

3.1.2. Petrophysical Analysis

3.1.2.1. The Petrophysical properties of the reservoir and formation water will be determined by the analysis of the production, core and quantitative well log data, The objective of this analysis will be to determine the best possible evaluation of porosity, permeability, capillary pressure, relative permeability, initial fluid saturation, saturation of reducible and irreducible water, total hydrocarbon saturation and residual hydrocarbon saturation. Water resistivity (Rw) measurement and methods used for it will also be determined.

- 3.1.2.2. All logs will be analyzed independently. The basic data for all wells will also be processed and interpreted independently. Normalization of the logs should be attempted wherever required. The whole interpretation should be carried out on Techlog software or any other software compatible with software used by OGDCL. The workflow of the interpretation module should be provided by the consultant.
- 3.1.2.3. Reconstruction of bad OH data due to washout hole condition, particularly in major reservoir zones (Density-sonic) by appropriate statistical methods.
- 3.1.2.4. **Clay Parameter Selection:** Log data will be cross plotted to establish various clay parameters. Statistical techniques will be employed to establish clay types, and also compared to any clay analyses that have been carried out in the laboratories if available. Shale parameters will be chosen from cross-plot techniques and from the individual logs as required.
- 3.1.2.5. **Shale Volume:** Shale volumes will be calculated using SP, Gamma Ray and CNL- FDC crossplot methods as minimum requirements besides other indicators and as is applicable. If Spectral Gamma Ray and core data are available, the Vsh will be calculated and compare them for accuracy.
- 3.1.2.6. **Porosity Calculation:** Porosity will be calculated using multiple porosity log analysis which is available. The calculated porosity will be compared against core porosity to establish a log-core porosity relationship. This relationship will then be utilized to establish a corederived porosity transformation for all the wells in the analysis. The consultant will be required to identify different rock types and produce transformation, correlations and curves for each rock type.
- 3.1.2.7. **Permeability:** Permeability should be computed using different approaches based on available data (logs, cores and testing results). However, the derived permeability index from different approaches will be provided to OGDCL with comparison and recommendations regarding the usage of which permeability for further working/ evaluation.
- 3.1.2.8. **Electro-Facies:** All appropriate wireline logs should be used to establish electro-facies using any statistical facies program and core facies calibration where available. Use neural network approach for reservoir characterization, create electro-facies logs using open hole logs and trained them with thee core data, and make synthetic logs of porosity, permeability and Sw and characterize the areas in the static model where there is no core data available.
- 3.1.2.9. Correlation between core and log derived data will be done for better understanding of geological/reservoir parameters.
- 3.1.2.10. **Porosity-Permeability:** Cross-plots of K-Max, K-Relative, K-Vertical and K-Horizontal of core versus log porosity will be created to establish relationship between permeability and log-derived porosity. These relationships will then be used to generate permeability logs for the zone of interest in all the wells evaluated.

- 3.1.2.11. **Water Saturation:** For water saturation calculation different models should be attempted using different available methodologies to come up with most suitable model. Formation water resistivity will be established from raw techniques and compared to water analysis from tests from the field and from analogue values as are available.
 - a. Saturation height functioning modeling will be carried out for the wells where SCAL data is available.
 - b. J-function modeling (capillarity) for defining transitional zone saturation.
 - c. Fluid contacts modeling will be reviewed and re-established.
 - d. Cut-off sensitivities will be re-established in the light of oil/gas production and reservoir behavior.
 - e. Based on biostratigraphy, SCAL and log data, reservoir characterization of all reservoir units will be established.
 - f. The interpreted results will be used to determine original OWC, GWC and GOC. The transition zone should be correlated with capillary pressure results.
 - g. Standard conventional log analysis will be carried out with color output of corrected Sxo (Water Saturation in Flushed zone), h, Vsh, Sw (Water Saturation of Water in Reservoir zone), Porosity, HCPV (Hydrocarbon Pore Volume), BVW (Bulk Volume of Water), Permeability, Vsh, moveable hydrocarbon and residual hydrocarbon etc.
 - h. C.P.I outputs of graphical plot will be in color along with log derived permeabilities. The plots will include produced formation analysis by volume (clay, matrix porosity and fluid analysis) and average grain density meter by meter in a scale of 1:200. Six copies of each plot will be prepared. All Cross/Pickett plots developed should also be provided to OGDCL with brief description and results on the same page.
 - Computer processed interpretation tabular output will include Sx, Sw, saturation of hydrocarbon, Vsh, moveable hydrocarbon, grain density, Φh, Φh(1-Sw), cumulative Φh (1-Sw)/Boi and cumulative Φh(1-Sw)/Bgi meter by meter.
 - j. Optimum numbers for porosity, Sw and clay volume cut-offs will be determined by testing data at variable sensitivities.
- 3.1.2.12. **Summary Tables:** A set of summary values for each zone in each well, listing pay, net pay, average porosity, water saturation, HCPV, BVW, porosity thickness, hydrocarbon thickness and permeability thickness will be generated, based on a series of cut-offs. A maximum of twelve sets of summary values will be generated and included in the final report. Accordingly, OWC, GWC and GOC will be established.
 - a. The log interpretation should be correlated to define reservoir scale parameters e.g. saturation profile or variation in OWC/ GWC/ GOC etc.
 - b. Consultant will provide all the answer log data on CD while summaries, spreadsheet/ Excel data will also be provided in respective formats. Petrophysical work is to be carried out on Techlog Software.

- c. Consultant will review the current logging suit and recommend any changes for future wells.
- d. All the logs should be evaluated for unconventional reservoir zones (tight oil/tight gas potential) in whole length of Lower Goru formation.
- e. All the logs should be evaluated for the potential Shale-Sand Stringers within all Shale Units of Lower Goru formation (Top to Bottom).

3.1.3. Static Modeling

- 3.1.3.1. Static Model (Integrated Geophysical, Geological and Petrophysical Models) will commence after approval of Geophysical, Geological and Petrophysical work from OGDCL. Final Static Model should be provided in Petrel software.
- 3.1.3.2. Build consistent stratigraphic/structural models by picking up the stratigraphic surfaces based on geological, geophysical and Petrophysical information.
- 3.1.3.3. Formulate the depositional models by combining possibly the seismic attributes (e.g. reflection strength etc.) and well logs data as well as using the regional information such as available geological reports showing environment of deposition to describe the geological features and regional tectonic /structural configurations & ambiguities.
- 3.1.3.4. The vertical and lateral dimensions of various formation units will be delineated independently by the consultant. The consultant will prepare structure and stratigraphic cross sections using the logs and other data and will determine the gas water contact (GWC) specifying the transition zone.
- 3.1.3.5. For the purpose of reservoir description, each reservoir/zone will be subdivided into several layers as per geological model. Following maps should be generated for every producing / potential reservoir layer on 1:25000 map scale.
 - a. Time maps for all producing/potential reservoirs/layers
 - b. Depth structure maps for all producing/potential reservoirs/layers
 - c. Iso-velocity maps on for all producing/potential reservoirs/layers
 - d. All 3D volumes of attribute analysis/maps of horizon attributes / properties etc.
 - e. Isopachs maps
 - f. Calibrated Amplitude maps overlain by depth maps
 - g. Coherency maps
 - h. Gross hydrocarbon maps
 - i. Hydrocarbon pay maps
 - j. Net Pay maps (the cut Off values as used should be mentioned on each reservoir level map)
 - k. Maps to show Clay Volume distribution for each reservoir layer.
 - I. Net to Gross Ratio
 - m. Absolute & Effective Porosity maps
 - n. Permeability distribution maps
 - o. Hydrocarbon Pore Volume map
 - p. Water Saturation maps

- q. Facies distribution map
- 3.1.3.6. A Facies model is to be constructed on Lower Goru reservoir sands using all finalized geophysical & petrophysical analysis/ evaluation/ estimations/ measurements. The model should address the vertical and lateral distribution of different facies. A relationship between facies and reservoir quality/performance should also be established.
- 3.1.3.7. Potential for Tight Oil/Gas and Shale–Sand stringers in any layer/zone of Lower Goru formation should also be evaluated and the separate models to be prepared for all such prospective horizons.
- 3.1.3.8. Calculate volumetric gas in place for each geological layer. If, a modeled layer consists of two or more sub geological layers, or a geological layer has subdivisions in two or more further layers then the oil/gas in place to be estimated for each modeled geological layer as well as for subdivisions of same layer individually.
- 3.1.3.9. Total hydrocarbons in place must be calculated using best possible cut off for porosity, water saturations and shale value with technical justification for each conventional reservoir/zone/layer, sand/shale Stringers as well as for unconventional reservoir/zone/layer.
- 3.1.3.10. The Consultant should select a representative geological model (Using Geo-screening approach) for reservoir simulation modeling i.e. from static to dynamic and dynamic to static for proper reservoir properties population, fault/structural configuration and selection of permeability paths to capture the heterogeneity of the reservoir.

3.2. Basic Reservoir Engineering Analyses

3.2.1. Rock & Fluid properties

- 3.2.1.1. Review the PVT laboratory analysis reports on fluid samples. The reports will be reviewed for completeness and examined for systematic variation of key properties for final input into the Material Balance and Simulation Models.
- 3.2.1.2. Develop phase envelops of all fluid samples and identify reservoir fluid type.
- 3.2.1.3. Tune equation of state (EOS) in such a way that it will produce same fluid properties at any given pressure-temperature condition as reported in lab analyses.
- 3.2.1.4. Investigate flow assurance issues which may arise as a result of changing reservoir pressures.
- 3.2.1.5. For Wells/ Fields where PVT Studies are not available, Consultant will Utilize Compositional analyses (Gas/ Condensate/ Oil) to develop pseudo-PVTs keeping offset Well/Field behavior in consideration.
- 3.2.1.6. Review the rock properties data available for relative permeability and capillary pressure curves required for simulation models for each identified rock type.

3.2.2. Pressure & Rate Transient Analyses (PTA & RTA)

3.2.2.1. Review the Production data available, encompassing well test results carried out on different times, for their completeness and accuracy to be used for Simulation and Material balance (Tank Modeling).

- 3.2.2.2. Well test & Production data obtained from all the wells will be reviewed and analyzed by the consultant for reservoir parameter estimations and model validation. Consultant shall utilize traditional PTA and advanced RTA (Flowing material balance, Fetkovich, Blasingame etc.) to analyze/ interpret the Production/ Pressure data. The procedures to analyze the well test data should be clearly mentioned in the consultant's proposal.
- 3.2.2.3. The permeability estimated from the short- and long-term pressure transient analysis will be correlated with the data obtained from core analysis.
- 3.2.2.4. The skin from latest well test analysis should be evaluated further to estimate skin due to completion, partial penetration, turbulence and damage separately.
- 3.2.2.5. If more than one PTA or RTA models fit to data with reasonable assumptions and gets validated on available data, consultant will mention & match all such models.
- 3.2.2.6. The Model matching geological and geophysical data will be used to characterize the reservoir.
- 3.2.2.7. Well Test Analysis (PTA) on entire rate history must be provided along with de-convolution results. Apart from this; DST, BHP surveys of all wells prior to history matching shall be reviewed and results to be incorporated in the model.
- 3.2.2.8. Proposals for future testing procedures and practices should also be submitted.
- 3.2.2.9. The Consultant will apply Decline Curve Analysis (DCA) on all the wells by using OFM Software. The consultant will use at least 02 methods of Decline Curve (Exponential/ Hyperbolic/ Harmonic) depending on Production History and Reservoir Properties of wells. Difference between Reserves calculated by DCA, MB & Simulation should be justified &resolved reasonably.
- 3.2.2.10. The consultant will submit a separate report exclusively for well test analysis (PTA) & Rate Transient Analysis (RTA) for all wells/ fields.

3.2.3. Material Balance Tank Models

- 3.2.3.1. Develop reservoir tank models of all fields using industry standard software (PETEX IPM) to check possible pressure communication in different blocks at different reservoir levels.
- 3.2.3.2. Standard techniques must be utilized to identify presence of various pressure support sources (Aquifer etc.)
- 3.2.3.3. Tank models shall reasonably be history matched with application of aquifer modeling & Geo-pressure techniques (where required). Industry standard Aquifer Model(s) should be used to estimate the water influx rate & Voidage replacement for producing formation.
- 3.2.3.4. Perform sensitivity analyses on uncertain tank model parameters. Details of the matching procedures and sensitivity analysis results should be reported.
- 3.2.3.5. Following prediction cases will be run. Final decision on number of cases & scenarios will be made in consultation of OGDCL's Simulation Engineer at time of study. These prediction cases must be accompanied by economics.

- Base case to be run on the existing set up under prevailing operating conditions till field life to predict future performance of reservoirs/ fields till economical limit of the well/ field.
- b. Consultant shall incorporate in various prediction scenarios, new development wells, infill wells, recompletion & stimulation cases, wellbore intervention/ work over jobs etc. in consultation with OGDCL's Reservoir Engineer and predict future performance for maximum gas recovery for reservoirs/ fields
- c. The Consultant will report (Annual basis) oil, water and gas rates/ recoveries with BHFP and WHFP till the ultimate recovery (EUR) of the Wells & fields against guideline given in para a & b.
- 3.2.3.6. Estimate hydrocarbon volumes and reserves throughout the field life. Models, complete in all aspects, should be provided in digital format compatible with software available with OGDCL.
- 3.2.3.7. Results of Tanks models & RTA should be in close agreement with dynamic modeling.

3.3. **Dynamic** Modeling, History Match

3.3.1. Model Initialization

- 3.3.1.1. All simulation work must be performed on Intersect Simulator using Petrel Interface.
- 3.3.1.2. A Black Oil Simulation model would be required for the study (Choice of simulation type will be made after fluid typing). The areal grid size and layering of reservoirs will be dictated from the areal and vertical variation of the Petrophysical properties, facies studies and structure of the reservoir.
- 3.3.1.3. The aquifer should be represented by proper cells in all directions. After the completion of the Static Model, the consultant will propose the X-Y grid of the reservoirs, the number of cells to represent the reservoir and number of model layers.
- 3.3.1.4. The model will be initialized, and History matched on "Fine Grid". However, if needed, grid may be upscaled if the results of both the fine grid and upscaled grid are reasonably matched & run time reduced significantly. This will be decided after discussion and concurrence of OGDCL's Reservoir Simulation Engineer.
- 3.3.1.5. After initialization, the model will be validated by comparing the open hole logs with synthetic logs of each well extracted from model.
- 3.3.1.6. A sensitivity run with no production to be run for five years or till the stability to understand the smoothness of initial data.
- 3.3.1.7. Corner point geometry of grid should be used in the Model.
- 3.3.1.8. Local Grid Refinement (LGRs) should be used around the wellbore region or away from the wells where we have no control on reservoir properties in case of upscaled model.
- 3.3.1.9. The location of hydrocarbon interfaces, the variation in pool composition and location of remaining recoverable hydrocarbons will be delineated.
- 3.3.1.10. The consultant will study the effects of aquifer strength on reservoir.

3.3.1.11. Consultant shall provide full field Simulation model along with sector models as required by OGDCL's Reservoir Engineer.

3.3.2. Radial Model Study

- 3.3.2.1. Key wells in each field will be selected for radial model study, to assess the coning behavior near the original contact(s) and condensate banking.
- 3.3.2.2. The selection of the wells will be made in consultation with OGDCL Reservoir Engineers and mutual understanding.
- 3.3.2.3. The results will be analyzed to allow development of well bore pseudo permeability curves for any water break through response.
- 3.3.2.4. Prediction of each case up to ultimate recovery will be conducted to determine the behavior of the well as a function of time.
- 3.3.2.5. The calibration of the model will be made with the well test, G&G and Petrophysical data available for the respective wells.

3.3.3. Full Field History Match

- 3.3.3.1. Prepare the recurrent data such as the well specification, perforation, rates, log of completion etc. and evaluate the need to make Peaceman's correction to pressure data before history matching.
- 3.3.3.2. Carry out history match runs using the most appropriate time steps (to be agreed between OGDCL and the consultant) to maintain the necessary accuracy and consultant's model stability.
- 3.3.3.3. Adjust the reservoir parameters as necessary (within acceptable limits) to get the best well by well history match. A log of all the changes made on the parameters in order to obtain acceptable history match should be intimated to OGDCL and all computer runs be kept in record for OGDCL review.
- 3.3.3.4. Layer wise porosity, permeability, pressure and hydrocarbon saturation maps initially, in between and as well as at the end of history match will be provided to OGDCL. The match should account for all history parameters in addition to pressures.
- 3.3.3.5. Fully implicit model technique should be used in the single well, cross-sectional models and three-dimensional model studies to ensure the stability and accuracy of the solution.
- 3.3.3.6. The models should be able to perform accurately under stable conditions. The time step should be chosen in such a way which reduces the run time and proper convergence is achieved in shorter time.
- 3.3.3.7. Regardless of the number of the time steps proposed by Consultant, an acceptable history match should be obtained. However, the proposed number of time steps should be maintained as a minimum.
- 3.3.3.8. Adequate saturation change and a pressure difference in successive time steps will be maintained in any cell in all simulation studies. The incremental material balance tolerance should not exceed 0.1 percent in all studies (single well, cross section and full

field). The parameters will be decided with consultation of OGDCL's Reservoir Simulation Engineer.

3.3.4. Prediction Performance

- 3.3.4.1. Consultant should perform one sensitivity prediction run excluding two years available pressure production data to validate model.
- 3.3.4.2. Following prediction cases will be run. Final decision on number of cases & scenarios will be made in consultation of OGDCL's Simulation Engineer at time of study. These prediction cases must be accompanied with economics.
 - Base case to be run on the existing set up under prevailing operating conditions till field life to predict future performance of reservoirs/ fields till economical limit of the well/ field.
 - b. Consultant shall incorporate in various prediction scenarios, new development wells, infill wells, recompletion & stimulation cases, wellbore intervention/ work over jobs etc. in consultation with OGDCL's Reservoir Engineer and predict future performance for maximum gas/ condensate recovery for reservoirs/ fields
 - c. The Consultant will report (on annual basis) oil, water and gas rates/ recoveries with BHFP and WHFP till the ultimate recovery (EUR) of the field against guideline given in para a & b.
- 3.3.4.3. The optimum case will be selected & recommended after reviewing the results of all predication cases and discussions with OGDCL.
- 3.3.4.4. In each prediction case optimum number of wells (including the drilling techniques Vertical or Horizontal) along with fracturing potential in tight sands packages will be investigated.
- 3.3.4.5. In all these cases, the reservoir simulator interfaced with surface facility network shall be used.

3.4. <u>Network Modeling</u>

3.4.1. Well Hydraulics Models (IPR/ OPR)

- 3.4.1.1. The consultant will develop calibrated (history matched) wellbore hydraulics models (in PETEX IPM) and generate Vertical Flow Performance (VFP) tables for all the current and future wells
- 3.4.1.2. Well models and VFP curves must cover all the possible wellbore flowing conditions including natural flow & flow through compressor. This information will be used in various scenarios for production optimization in the simulation/ Network model.
- 3.4.1.3. The consultant will perform Nodal Analysis for all flowing wells and for the wells (if any) which have loaded up or have seized to flow at present. This information will also be used for production optimization in the simulation model.
- 3.4.1.4. Consultant shall review completions of all the wells and suggest any change considering Nodal/ System analysis, if required, for increasing the flow efficiently and convert to match with the simulation model layers at each well location.

- 3.4.1.5. Consultant shall, considering his findings from Well models (Nodal Analysis); chalk out recommendations for future completions strategy for efficient well flow.
- 3.4.1.6. Well models shall be vetted by OGDCL's Area Reservoir Engineer.

3.4.2. Network Models

- 3.4.2.1. Consultant shall build Surface network models for KPD & surrounding TAY Block fields and properly calibrate it with the available data (This will later be integrated with Network models of rest of the TAY/ Nim block fields & KPD satellite fields).
- 3.4.2.2. Consultant shall study bottlenecks in the existing network, i.e. in well completions, well flow lines and facilities, trunk lines and plant and place recommendations for its optimization.
- 3.4.2.3. Consultant will couple the fully calibrated network model with their corresponding dynamic model for prediction runs.
- 3.4.2.4. Consultant shall merge network model of KPD & surrounding TAY Block fields with TAY Block & Satellite fields Network models to build an integrated network model of whole project.

3.5. <u>Production Forecasting Through Integrated Network Model &</u> <u>Economic Analysis</u>

Production Forecasting through integrated network models by using MBE (Tank) models of TAY-Nim block & KPD Satellite fields and Dynamic model of KPD & surrounding TAY Block fields shall be performed as follows.

3.5.1. Production Forecasting Through Integrated Network Model

- 3.5.1.1. Production Forecasting through integrated network model shall be carried out by using MBE (Tank) models of TAY-Nim block & KPD Satellite fields and Dynamic model of KPD & surrounding TAY Block fields.
- 3.5.1.2. The network simulation from wellhead to processing plant would be used to design and optimize the production.
- 3.5.1.3. Plant Inlet pressure requirement is 1050 psi which leads to 1200-1300 psi line pressure. Well head flowing pressure should be more than 1300 psi for injection in the system.
- 3.5.1.4. Consultant shall run a Base Case on the existing set up under prevailing operating conditions till field life with respect to existing network.
- 3.5.1.5. Consultant shall incorporate in various prediction scenarios, new development wells, infill wells, recompletion & stimulation cases, wellbore intervention/ work over jobs etc. in consultation with OGDCL's Reservoir Engineer and predict future performance for maximum gas recovery.
- 3.5.1.6. Simultaneously, the consultant will evaluate the reservoirs, production and pressure data to select the right time for compressor installation to maximize the gas recovery from the reservoirs.
- 3.5.1.7. The Consultant will report oil, water and gas rates/ recoveries with BHFP and WHFP till the ultimate recovery (EUR) of the field against guideline given in para 3.5.1.4 & 3.5.1.5.

- 3.5.1.8. The requirement for compression should be predicted to meet the contractual requirements of KPD-TAY project by using the network simulation.
- 3.5.1.9. Consultant will perform simulation run of the optimum compression to maximize the gas recovery. Number of simulation runs and other specifications will be decided with the consultation of OGDCL's Reservoir Engineer.
- 3.5.1.10. The Study should address following (but not limited to) regarding compression to maintain the plateau production rate:
 - a. Timeline of Compression for each field/ Well
 - b. Estimated Ultimate Recovery (EUR) with/ without compression
 - c. Production forecasts against suction pressures of 1200, 1000, 800, 600, 400, 200
 & 50 psi.
 - d. Comparison of EUR (of all fields) for nodal compressor vs wellhead compressor scenarios in First Stage.
 - e. Required compression Nodes, Stages, Capacity and timeline
- 3.5.1.11. Stages are elaborated as below
 - a. First Stage: Front End Compression at KPD Plant with suction pressure of 600 psi and manageable up to 400 psi.
 - Second Stage: Nodal Compressors at different locations to meet the FEC suction pressures requirement. Suction pressure of this stage should range from 400-200 psi
 - c. Third stage: Wellhead compressors to meet the Nodal Compressor at suction pressures of 200 psi to 50 psi.
 - d. Forth Stage: Booster Compressors to be added between wellhead & Nodal Compressors to achieve minimum possible wellhead pressures (below 50 psi).
- 3.5.1.12. Possible modifications with complete layouts in current gathering system to accommodate stage wise compression.
- 3.5.1.13. Compressor's liquid handling capacity should also be studied & optimized in the compression design.

3.5.2. Economic Analysis

Field wise & Project Economic Analysis of Prediction scenarios/ Forecasts shall be carried out on full cycle and point forward basis. Consultant shall prepare economics cases in PEEP software. This shall be vetted by OGDCL's Area Reservoir Engineer. The consultant will report following;

- 3.5.2.1. Estimate ultimate recoverable reserves in proven, probable and possible categories as per SPE PRMS definitions.
- 3.5.2.2. For each prediction case, economic analysis (NPV at different discount rates, ROR/ IRR, Payout and other profitability ratios) must be furnished.
- 3.5.2.3. Based on the economic results, an optimum development plan should be provided by the consultant.

- 3.5.2.4. There will be a recommended plan of action to be followed to achieve maximum economic recovery based on the techno-economic analysis of the various prediction cases studies.
- 3.5.2.5. The plan must include recommendations for reservoir management, subsurface and surface facilities for the life of the field.
- 3.5.2.6. Provide field wise certification for reserves separately in proven, probable and possible categories in accordance with the definitions of reserves classifications carried by Society of Petroleum Engineers (SPE) / Petroleum Resources Management System (PRMS).

Section – 3: Tando Allah Yar and Nim Block (EL) Fields

4. Fields' Introduction

1.6. <u>Chandio</u>

Chandio Gas Field was discovered in May 2007 with successful testing of Chandio-1 across Basal and Massive sands of Lower Goru formation. The well has been completed in Basal sand. The well produced 2.6 MMscfd Gas, 70 STBD Condensate against 1800 psi WHFP at 32/64" Choke size during post completion test. Regular Production from this field started in June 2017 after commissioning of KPD-TAY Integrated plant through wellhead compressor. Currently the well currently shut in due to surface issues. As of September 2020, it has recovered 264 MMscf Gas.

1.7. <u>Dars</u>

Dars Gas Field was discovered in August 2003 with successful testing of Dars-1 across upper sands (B & C) of Lower Goru formation. The well has been completed across both B & C sands. The well produced 14.14 MMscfd Gas, 850 STBD Condensate & 8 STBD Water against 1800 psi WHFP at 32/64" Choke size during post completion test. Regular Production from this field started in June 2017 after commissioning of KPD-TAY Integrated plant. Currently the well is shut in due to water hold up. As of September 2020, it has recovered 5.8 Bscf Gas and 314 MSTB condensate.

1.8. Dars Deep

Dars Deep Gas Field was discovered in September 2006 with successful testing of Dars Deep-1 across Middle & Basal sands of Lower Goru formation. The well has been completed with gravel pack to avoid sand production across both the tested intervals. The well produced 11 MMscfd Gas, 475 STBD Condensate & 85 STBD Water against 2250 psi WHFP at 32/64" Choke size during DST-2. Regular Production from this field started in June 2017 after commissioning of KPD-TAY Integrated plant. Currently the well is producing 3.1 MMscfd Gas, 143 STBD Condensate & 79 STBD Water. As of September 2020, it has recovered 7.7 Bscf Gas and 154 MSTB condensate.

1.9. Dars West

Dars West Gas Field was discovered in July 2004 with successful testing of Dars West-1 across Upper sands (B & C) of Lower Goru formation. The well is completed across B sand interval. The well produced 8.5 MMscfd Gas, 590 STBD Condensate against 1930 psi WHFP at 32/64" Choke size during post completion test. Regular Production from this field started in June 2017 after commissioning of KPD-TAY Integrated plant. Currently the well is producing 9.3 MMscfd Gas, 480 STBD Condensate & 40 STBD Water. As of September 2020, it has recovered 10.4 Bscf Gas and 594 MSTB condensate.

1.10. Kunnar South

Kunnar South Gas Field was discovered in July 2008 with successful testing of Kunnar South-1 across two zones of Massive sands of Lower Goru formation. The well is completed across both tested zones. The well produced 16.6 MMscfd Gas, 275 STBD Condensate against 3000 psi WHFP at 32/64" Choke size during post completion test. Regular Production from this field started in June 2017 after commissioning of KPD-TAY Integrated plant. Currently the well is producing 11.5 MMscfd Gas, 120 STBD Condensate & 25 STBD Water. As of September 2020, it has recovered 12.65 Bscf Gas and 105 MSTB condensate.

1.11. Pasakhi East

Pasakhi East Gas Field was discovered in January 2008 with successful testing of Pasakhi East-1 across two zones of Massive sands of Lower Goru formation. The well is completed across both tested zones. The well produced 14.0 MMscfd Gas, 110 STBD Condensate & 70 STBD Water against 3100 psi WHFP at 32/64" Choke size during post completion test. Regular Production from this field started in June 2017 after commissioning of KPD-TAY Integrated plant. Currently the well is producing 3.1 MMscfd Gas, 35 STBD Condensate & 55 STBD Water. As of September 2020, it has recovered 9.4 Bscf Gas and 114 MSTB condensate.

1.12. <u>Shah</u>

Shah Gas Field was discovered in September 2010 with successful testing of Shah-1 across two zones of Massive sands of Lower Goru formation. The well is completed across both tested zones. The well produced 15.7 MMscfd Gas, 170 STBD Condensate & 95 STBD Water against 3000 psi WHFP at 32/64" Choke size during post completion test. Regular Production from this field started in June 2017 after commissioning of KPD-TAY Integrated plant. Currently the well is producing 7.0 MMscfd Gas, 105 STBD Condensate & 35 STBD Water. As of September 2020, it has recovered 11.9 Bscf Gas and 133.4 MSTB condensate.

1.13. Tando Allah Yar

Tando Allah Yar Gas Field was discovered in January 1998 with successful testing of Tando Allah Yar-1 across Upper sands (B & C) of Lower Goru formation. Three development well have been drilled in TAY Field namely TAY-2 (1998), TAY-3 (1999) & TAY-4 (1999). TAY-1 is dually completed in respective DST zones of B & C Sands while other wells are completed with single string in C sand. The well produced 14.5 MMscfd Gas, 180 STBD Condensate & 60 STBD Water against 3200 psi WHFP at 32/64" Choke size during post completion test. Regular Production from this field started in June 2017 after commissioning of KPD-TAY Integrated plant. At present only TAY-1 (SS & LS) are producing while others are shut in due to WHFP-line pressure equalization. Currently the field is producing 8.6 MMscfd Gas, 62 STBD Condensate & 48 STBD Water. As of September 2020, it has recovered 12.6 Bscf Gas and 336 MSTB condensate.

1.14. Tando Allah Yar North

Tando Allah Yar North Gas Field was discovered in July 2005 with successful testing of Tando Allah Yar North-1 across Upper sands (B) of Lower Goru formation. TAYN-1 is completed in the tested zone of B sand. The well produced 2.4 MMscfd Gas, 660 STBD Condensate & 75 STBD Water against 1325 psi WHFP at 24/64" Choke size during post completion test. The well is connected to KPD-TAY Integrated plant for regular production. Currently the field is shut in. As of September 2020, it has recovered 0324 MMscf Gas and 0.3 MSTB condensate.

1.15. Tando Allah Yar South West

Tando Allah Yar South West Gas Field was discovered in September 2017 with successful testing of Tando Allah Yar South West-1 across Massive sands of Lower Goru formation. TAYSW-1 is completed in the tested zone of Massive sands. The well produced 10 MMscfd Gas, 72 STBD Condensate & 70 STBD Water against 2440 psi WHFP at 32/64" Choke size during DST. The well is connected to KPD-TAY Integrated plant for regular production which started on November 04, 2020 at 32/64" choke size, producing 14.4 MMscfd Gas, 105 STBD Condensate & 25 STBD Water against 2700 psi WHFP.

1.16. <u>Unnar</u>

Unnar Gas Field was discovered in November 2006 with successful testing of Unnar-1 across Massive sands of Lower Goru formation (DST-2). Chiltan formation was also tested (DST-1) but proved dry. The well is completed across DST-2 zone of Massive Sand. The well produced 14.5 MMscfd Gas, 180 STBD Condensate & 60 STBD Water against 3200 psi WHFP at 32/64" Choke size during post completion test. Regular Production from this field started in June 2017 after commissioning of KPD-TAY Integrated plant. Currently the well is producing 8.1

MMscfd Gas, 95 STBD Condensate & 35 STBD Water. As of September 2020, it has recovered 11.1 Bscf Gas and 112.3 MSTB condensate.

1.17. <u>Sial</u>

Sial Oil Field was discovered in January 2021 with successful testing of Sial-1 across upper sand A of Lower Goru Formation (DST-2). Upper sand B was tested in DST-1 but proven to be nonproductive. During DST-1, the well produced 1.15 MMscfd Gas, 680 STBD oil & 15 STBD Water against 460 psi WHFP at 32/64". Well is currently under testing.

1.18. Saand

Saand Gas Field, located in Nim EL, was discovered in November 2013 with successful testing of Saand-1 (Re-entry) across Upper sands (B) of Lower Goru formation. The well produced 5.8 MMscfd Gas, 65 STBD Condensate & 0.6 STBD Water against 1580 psi WHFP at 32/64" Choke size during Post Completion Test. One appraisal well has also been drilled to delineate the Saand structure namely Saand-2 (2014) which produced 7.4 MMscfd Gas, 60 STBD Condensate & 15 STBD Water against 1530 psi WHFP at 32/64" Choke size during DST. Both the wells are completed in respective zones of B Sands. Both wells are tied to KPD-TAY Integrated plant for regular production. Saand-2 started regular production on November 04, 2020 at 32/64" choke size, producing 6.0 MMscfd Gas, 80 STBD Condensate & 15 STBD Water against 1550 psi WHFP.

5. Scope of Work

2.1. Objective

The main objective of this study is to carry out a detailed Reservoir, material balance and Network modelling Study of TAY/ Nim Block fields (Chandio, Dars, Dars West, Dars Deep, TAY, TAY North, TAY SW & Saand) & KPD Satellites fields (Thora Deep & Moolan) producing from various reservoirs of Lower Goru Formation, in order to exploit the reserves in cost effective manner by incorporating available information from E&P domains.

2.2. Scope of Work

This Scope of Work shall be applied to TAY/ Nim Block fields (Chandio, Dars, Dars West, Dars Deep, TAY, TAY North, TAY SW, and Saand) & KPD Satellites fields (Thora Deep & Moolan).

- 2.2.1. Consultant will review/ revisit the available geological data, core data, well logs, well structure and stratigraphic correlations/cross sections, well tests data, BHP data, PVT data, production data, completion histories and all relevant data of current and proposed well(s).
- 2.2.2. Consultant will carry out detailed seismic data interpretation of the 3D cube at respective reservoir levels utilizing data from all the wells (D&A, P&A & Producers) falling in area of available seismic volume. However, the wells falling out of the fields under study (Figure 3 on page 9) will not be considered for detailed petrophysical evaluation relating to para 2.2.3 of this section and rest of the phases of G&G and Engineering. Consultant will use the available velocity data for depth conversion of the TWT surface. 3D Seismic volume available for interpretation in this study is about 650 Sq. Km for KPD, TAY and KPD Satellites. The Consultant will provide DCMs for each field at Top Lower Goru (TLG) and each reservoir level encountered in the field. Consultant shall also look for any possible lead/ prospect from TLG to Top Sembar Fm. in the existing field (lease) area and report as prospective resource. Area Geophysicist shall vet consultant's Interpretation/ analysis/ working before proceeding.
- 2.2.3. The integrated Petrophysical properties for all fields will be determined by the analyses of the wireline logs with incorporation of production, core and quantitative well log data as given below.
- 2.2.3.1. The Petrophysical properties of the reservoir and formation water will be determined by the analysis of the production, core and quantitative well log data, The objective of this analysis will be to determine the best possible evaluation of porosity, permeability, capillary pressure, relative permeability, initial fluid saturation, saturation of reducible and irreducible water, total hydrocarbon saturation and residual hydrocarbon saturation. Water resistivity (Rw) measurement and methods used for it will also be determined.
- 2.2.3.2. All logs will be analyzed independently. The basic data for all wells will be processed and interpreted independently too. Normalization of the logs should be attempted wherever required. The whole interpretation should be carried out on Techlog software or any software compatible with software used by OGDCL. The workflow of the interpretation module should be provided by the consultant.
- 2.2.3.3. Reconstruction of bad OH data due to washout hole condition, particularly in major reservoir zones (Density-sonic) by appropriate statistical methods.

- 2.2.3.4. **Clay Parameter Selection:** Log data will be cross plotted to establish various clay parameters. Statistical techniques will be employed to establish clay types, and also compared to any clay analyses that have been carried out in the laboratories if available. Shale parameters will be chosen from cross-plot techniques and from the individual logs as required.
- 2.2.3.5. **Shale Volume:** Shale volumes will be calculated using SP, Gamma Ray and CNL- FDC cross-plot methods as minimum requirements besides other indicators and as is applicable. If Spectral Gamma Ray and core data are available, the Vsh will be calculated and compare them for accuracy.
- 2.2.3.6. **Porosity Calculation:** Porosity will be calculated using multiple porosity log analysis which is available. The calculated porosity will be compared against core porosity to establish a log-core porosity relationship. This relationship will then be utilized to establish a core- derived porosity transformation for all the wells in the analysis. The consultant will be required to identify different rock types and produce transformation, correlations and curves for each rock type.
- 2.2.3.7. **Permeability:** Permeability should be computed using different approaches based on available data (logs, cores and testing results). However, the derived permeability index from different approaches will be provided to OGDCL with comparison and recommendations regarding the usage of which permeability for further working/ evaluation.
- 2.2.3.8. **Electro-Facies:** All appropriate wireline logs should be used to establish electro-facies using any statistical facies program and core facies calibration where available. Use neural network approach for reservoir characterization, create electro-facies logs using open hole logs and trained them with thee core data, and make synthetic logs of porosity, permeability and Sw and characterize the areas where there is no core data available for better understanding of reservoir.
- 2.2.3.9. Correlation between core and log derived data will be done for better understanding of geological/reservoir parameters.
- 2.2.3.10. **Porosity-Permeability:** Cross-plots of K-Max, K-Relative, K-Vertical and K-Horizontal of core versus log porosity will be created to establish relationship between permeability and log-derived porosity. These relationships will then be used to generate permeability logs for the zone of interest in all the wells evaluated.
- 2.2.3.11. **Water Saturation:** For water saturation calculation different models should be attempted using different available methodologies to come up with most suitable model. Formation water resistivity will be established from raw techniques and compared to water analysis from tests from the field and from analogue values as are available.
 - a. Saturation height functioning modeling will be carried out for the wells where SCAL data is available.
 - b. J-function modeling (capillarity) for defining transitional zone saturation.

- c. Fluid contacts modeling will be reviewed and re-established.
- d. Cut-off sensitivities will be re-established in the light of oil/gas production and reservoir behavior.
- e. Based on biostratigraphy, SCAL and log data, reservoir characterization of all reservoir units will be established.
- f. The interpreted results will be used to determine original OWC, GWC and GOC. The transition zone should be correlated with capillary pressure results.
- g. Standard conventional log analysis will be carried out with color output of corrected Sxo (Water Saturation in Flushed zone), h, Vsh, Sw (Water Saturation of Water in Reservoir zone), Porosity, HCPV (Hydrocarbon Pore Volume), BVW (Bulk Volume of Water), Permeability, Vsh, moveable hydrocarbon and residual hydrocarbon etc.
- h. C.P.I outputs of graphical plot will be in color along with log derived permeabilities. The plots will include produced formation analysis by volume (clay, matrix porosity and fluid analysis) and average grain density meter by meter in a scale of 1:200. Six copies of each plot will be prepared. All Cross/Pickett plots developed should also be provided to OGDCL with brief description and results on the same page.
- Computer processed interpretation tabular output will include Sx, Sw, saturation of hydrocarbon, Vsh, moveable hydrocarbon, grain density, Φh, Φh(1-Sw), cumulative Φh (1-Sw)/Boi and cumulative Φh(1-Sw)/Bgi meter by meter.
- j. Optimum numbers for porosity, Sw and clay volume cut-offs will be determined by testing data at variable sensitivities.
- 2.2.3.12. Summary Tables: A set of summary values for each zone in each well, listing pay, net pay, average porosity, water saturation, HCPV, BVW, porosity thickness, hydrocarbon thickness and permeability thickness will be generated, based on a series of cut-offs. A maximum of twelve sets of summary values will be generated and included in the final report. Accordingly, OWC, GWC and GOC will be established.
 - a. The log interpretation should be correlated to define reservoir scale parameters e.g. saturation profile or variation in OWC/ GWC/ GOC etc.
 - b. Consultant will provide all the answer log data on CD while summaries, spreadsheet/ Excel data will also be provided in respective formats. Petrophysical work is to be carried out on Techlog Software.
 - c. Consultant will review the current logging suit and recommend any changes for future wells.
 - d. All the logs should be evaluated for unconventional reservoir zones (tight oil/tight gas potential) in whole length of Lower Goru formation.
 - e. All the logs should be evaluated for the potential Shale-Sand Stringers within all Shale Units of Lower Goru formation (Top to Bottom).

The objective of these analyses will be to determine the best possible evaluation of porosity, permeability, capillary pressure, relative permeability, initial fluid saturation, Fluid contacts, saturations of reducible and irreducible water and total & residual hydrocarbon saturations. Consultant's Petrophysical Interpretation/ analysis/ working shall be vetted by OGDCL's Petrophysicist before proceeding.

- 2.2.4. The Consultant will estimate the Gas/ Oil Initially in Place (GIIP /OIIP) by volumetric method using newly generated Time & Depth maps for all producing/ tested formations in category 1P, 2P and 3P.
- 2.2.5. The Consultant will evaluate the upside potential/ contingent resources (conventional/ unconventional) based on the newly generated dataset.
- 2.2.6. The Consultant will carry out basic Reservoir Engineering study by analyzing rock & fluid properties, reservoir & well performances, Pressure data to apply p/z and build Material Balance model (Tank Model) for estimation of the OIIP/ GIIP. To determine the drive mechanism and its effect on the performance of reservoir. The models should be reasonably history matched and agreed upon by the Area Reservoir Engineer. Further detail is as follows
- 2.2.6.1. Review the PVT laboratory analysis reports on fluid samples. The reports will be reviewed for completeness and examined for systematic variation of key properties for final input into the Material Balance Models.
- 2.2.6.2. Develop phase envelops of all fluid samples and identify reservoir fluid type.
- 2.2.6.3. Tune equation of state (EOS) in such a way that it will produce same fluid properties at any given pressure-temperature condition as reported in lab analyses.
- 2.2.6.4. Investigate flow assurance issues which may arise as a result of changing reservoir pressures.
- 2.2.6.5. For Wells/ Fields where PVT Studies are not available, Consultant will Utilize Compositional analyses (Gas/ Condensate/ Oil) to develop pseudo-PVTs keeping offset Well/Field behavior in consideration.
- 2.2.6.6. Review the rock properties data available for relative permeability and capillary pressure curves.
- 2.2.6.7. Review the Production data available, encompassing well test results carried out on different times, for their completeness and accuracy to be used for Material balance (Tank Modeling).
- 2.2.6.8. Well test & Production data obtained from all the wells will be reviewed and analyzed by the consultant for reservoir parameter estimations and model validation. Consultant shall utilize traditional PTA and advanced RTA (Flowing material balance, Fetkovich, Blasingame etc.) to analyze/ interpret the Production/ Pressure data. The procedures to analyze the well test data should be clearly mentioned in the consultant's proposal.
- 2.2.6.9. The permeability estimated from the short- and long-term pressure transient analysis will be correlated with the data obtained from core analysis.

- 2.2.6.10. The skin from latest well test analysis should be evaluated further to estimate skin due to completion, partial penetration, turbulence and damage separately.
- 2.2.6.11. If more than one PTA or RTA models fit to data with reasonable assumptions and gets validated on available data, consultant will mention & match all such models.
- 2.2.6.12. The Model matching geological and geophysical data will be used to characterize reservoir.
- 2.2.6.13. Well Test Analysis (PTA) on entire rate history must be provided along with deconvolution results. Apart from this; DST, BHP surveys of all wells prior to history matching shall be reviewed and results to be incorporated in the model.
- 2.2.6.14. Proposals for future testing procedures and practices should also be submitted.
- 2.2.6.15. The Consultant will apply Decline Curve Analysis (DCA) on all the wells by using OFM Software. The consultant will use at least 02 methods of Decline Curve (Exponential/ Hyperbolic/ Harmonic) depending on Production History and Reservoir Properties of wells. Difference between Reserves calculated by Volumetrics, DCA & MB should be justified & resloved reasonably.
- 2.2.6.16. The consultant will submit a separate report exclusively for well test analysis (PTA) & Rate Transient Analysis (RTA) for all wells/ fields.
- 2.2.6.17. The consultant will develop reservoir tank models of all fields using industry standard software (PETEX IPM) to check possible pressure communication in different blocks at different reservoir levels.
- 2.2.6.18. Standard techniques must be utilized to identify presence of various pressure support sources (Aquifer etc.)
- 2.2.6.19. Tank models shall be reasonably history matched with application of aquifer modeling & Geopressure techniques (where required). Industry standard Aquifer Model(s) should be used to estimate the water influx rate & Voidage replacement for producing formation.
- 2.2.6.20. Perform sensitivity analysis on uncertain tank model parameters. Details of the matching procedures and sensitivity analysis results should be reported.
- 2.2.6.21. Following prediction cases will be run. Final decision on number of cases & scenarios will be made in consultation of OGDCL's Reservoir Engineer at time of study. These prediction cases must be accompanied by economics.
 - a. Base case to be run on the existing set up under prevailing operating conditions till field life to predict future performance of reservoirs/ fields till economical limit of the well/ field.
 - b. Consultant shall incorporate various prediction scenarios in consultation with OGDCL's Reservoir Engineer and predict future performance for maximum gas recovery for reservoirs/ fields
 - c. The Consultant will report oil, water and gas rates/ recoveries with BHFP and WHFP till the ultimate recovery (EUR) of the field against guideline given in para a & b.

- 2.2.6.22. Estimate hydrocarbon volumes and reserves throughout the field life. Models should be provided in digital format.
- 2.2.6.23. Results of Tanks models & RTA should be in close agreement with each other.
- 2.2.7. The consultant will propose number of new development well(s) based on their analysis/ evaluation/ interpretation of static data & material balance model(s) required to drain the reported reserves.
- 2.2.8. The consultant will provide the optimum production operation conditions for maximum hydrocarbon recovery (i.e. Compression etc.). The Consultant will also provide forecast scenarios including gas, oil and water recoveries with BHFP and WHFP by applying different operating/ development conditions till the ultimate recovery of the field.
- 2.2.9. In the Study, consultant should address following (but not limited to) regarding compression to maintain the plateau production rate:
- 2.2.9.1. Timeline of Compression for each field/ Well
- 2.2.9.2. Estimated Ultimate Recovery (EUR) with/ without compression
- 2.2.9.3. Production forecasts against suction pressures of 1200, 1000, 800, 600, 400, 200 & 50 psi.
- 2.2.9.4. Comparison of EUR (of all fields) for Front End/ nodal compression vs wellhead compression scenarios
- 2.2.9.5. Required compression Nodes, Stages Capacity and timeline
- 2.2.9.6. Possible modifications in current gathering system to accommodate stage wise compression
- 2.2.10. The consultant shall perform analytical analysis to assess water coning and to define operating conditions/ limits for future operation.
- 2.2.11. This Study will be completed in three (03) **sub phases** as follows:
- 2.2.11.1. Seismic & Petrophysical interpretation and Volumetric Estimation
- 2.2.11.2. Basic Reservoir Engineering Analyses i.e. Rock & Fluid properties, RTA, PTA, Material Balance (Tank) modeling
- 2.2.11.3. Network modeling of KPD Satellite, TAY/ Nim Block fields & its integration with KPD & Satellite fields network models
- 2.2.12. Field wise & Block level Economic Analysis of Prediction scenarios/ Forecasts shall be carried out on full cycle and point forward basis. Consultant shall prepare economics cases in PEEP software. This shall be vetted by OGDCL's Area Reservoir Engineer. Further detail is as follows;
- 2.2.12.1. Categorize ultimate recoverable reserves in proven, probable and possible categories as per SPE PRMS definitions.
- 2.2.12.2. For each prediction case, economic analysis (NPV at different discount rates, ROR/ IRR, Payout and other profitability ratios) must be furnished.
- 2.2.12.3. Based on the economic results, an optimum development plan should be provided by the consultant.

- 2.2.12.4. There will be a recommended plan of action to be followed to achieve maximum economic recovery based on the techno-economic analysis of the various prediction cases studies.
- 2.2.12.5. The plan must include recommendations for reservoir management, subsurface and surface facilities for the life of the field.

Section – 4: KPD Satellite Fields

6. Fields' Introduction

These fields are 100% OGDCL owned, producing through KPD-TAY integrated plant.

1.19. <u>Moolan</u>

Moolan Gas Field, located in Lashari Center & South D&PL, was discovered in November 2007 with successful testing of Moolan-1 across two zones of Upper sands of Lower Goru formation. The well is completed across both tested zones. The well produced 4.4 MMscfd Gas, 64 STBD Condensate & 35 STBD Water against 900 psi WHFP at 32/64" Choke size during DST-1 (C & D sands). The well produced 6.0 MMscfd Gas, 165 STBD Condensate & 40 STBD Water against 1240 psi WHFP at 32/64" Choke size during DST-2 (A & B sands). Regular Production from this field started in June 2017 after commissioning of KPD-TAY Integrated plant. Moolan-1 produces under Wellhead compression. Currently the well is producing 1.6 MMscfd Gas, 160 STBD Condensate & 83 STBD Water. As of September 2020, it has recovered 1.4 Bscf Gas and 118 MSTB condensate.

1.20. Thora Deep

Thora Deep Gas Field, located in Thora & Thora East D&PL, was discovered in May 2007 with successful testing of Thora Deep-1 across Massive sands of Lower Goru formation. The well produced 10 MMscfd Gas, 100 STBD Condensate & 120 STBD Water against 1880 psi WHFP at 32/64" Choke size during DST. One appraisal well have also been drilled to delineate the Thora deep structure namely Thora Deep-2 (2008) which have proved successful. Both the wells are completed in respective DST zones of Massive Sands. Regular Production from this field started in June 2017 after commissioning of KPD-TAY Integrated plant. At present both Thora Deep-1 & Thora Deep-2 are shut in due to Water hold up and low pressure. A third well Thora Deep-3 had been drilled and sidetracked after suspension of original hole. Thora Deep-3 ST has been completed across Basal & Upper Massive sands. As of September 2020, it has recovered 8.3 Bscf Gas and 92 MSTB condensate.

7. Scope of Work

Same scope of work described for TAY & Nim Fields shall apply here.

Section – 5: Deliverables and Terms & Conditions

8. Deliverables

- The consultant shall submit an executive summary and a unified report of all the phases of the study along with the outputs described below (02 hard copies of all). These reports/ summaries will also be provided in digital formats (.docx & .pdf)
- Consultant shall deliver outcomes of the study for TAY Block fields based on Basic Reservoir Engineering & Network modelling on priority as no static & dynamic modeling will be carried out for these fields.
- Consultant will submit phase wise all the models/ Analyses/ interpretations/ reports for OGDCL's review before moving to next phase.

1.21. <u>G&G and Static Model</u>

- 1.1.1. Consultant shall submit following outputs both in hard and digital formats for all fields under study (Kunnar Pasakhi Deep, Tando Allah Yar/ Nim Block & KPD Satellite fields)
- 1.1.1.1. Seismic interpretation Project(s)
- 1.1.1.2. Velocity modeling data & Velocity Maps
- 1.1.1.3. Depth & time contour maps (Along with GRVs, Area, Rock Properties, Well locations etc.) at Ranikot, TLG, B or C Sand, Middle Sand, Basal Sand, Massive sands, Sembar & Chiltan levels; and of leads and progrades identified as result of Attribute analysis anywhere from TLG to Chiltan formation on field level.
- 1.1.1.4. Depth & time contour maps (Along with GRVs, Area, Rock Properties etc.) at Ranikot, TLG, B or C Sand, Middle Sand, Basal Sand, Massive sands, Sembar & Chiltan levels; and of leads and progrades identified as result of Attribute analysis anywhere from TLG to Chiltan formation on regional level covering the area of available seismic volume/ cube with all the wells (D&A, P&A, & Producers) marked.
- 1.1.1.5. Geological & Geophysical cross-sections
- 1.1.1.6. CPIs of all wells including coring/ Testing/ completion information wherever available
- 1.1.1.7. CPI Well correlations across length & breadth of the Structures (including Testing & completion Intervals)
- 1.1.1.8. Following maps should also be generated for every producing / potential reservoir layer on 1:25000 map scale.
 - a. Iso-velocity maps on for all producing/potential reservoirs/layers
 - b. All 3D volumes of attribute analysis/maps of horizon attributes / properties etc.
 - c. Calibrated Amplitude maps overlain by depth maps
 - d. Coherency maps
 - e. Facies distribution map
 - f. Gross reservoir maps
 - g. Gross hydrocarbon maps

- h. Hydrocarbon pay maps
- i. Net Pay maps (the cut Off values as used should be mentioned on each reservoir level map)
- j. Maps to show Clay Volume distribution for each reservoir layer.
- k. Net to Gross Ratio maps
- I. Absolute & Effective Porosity maps
- m. Permeability distribution maps
- n. Hydrocarbon Pore Volume map
- o. Water Saturation maps
- 1.1.2. Static model of KPD fields, complete in all aspects as described in Scope of Work.
- 1.1.3. Consultant to provide complete Petrel projects (including all above) and all working of Petrel given in sections-3 and 4.

1.22. Basic Reservoir Engineering

Consultant shall submit following outputs of the Basic Reservoir Engineering and simulation

- 1.2.1. PTA reports & files (Saphir) and field wise comparative summary (Inputs/ Results) tables & bubble maps
- 1.2.2. RTA reports & files (Topaz) and field wise comparative summary (Inputs/ Results) tables & bubble maps
- 1.2.3. Well wise and field wise DCA charts and results summary (OFM project as well)
- 1.2.4. Well performance models (IPR/ OPR)
- 1.2.5. History matched Tank models with prediction cases

1.23. <u>Reservoir Simulation</u>

- 1.3.1. Summary of parametric tuning for History matching with rationales as remarks
- 1.3.2. History matched dynamic models with prediction cases
- 1.3.3. Tabulated Comparison of various prediction cases & their outcomes

1.24. Network Model & Economics

Consultant shall submit following outputs of the Network model

1.4.1. Pressure-Production forecasts against various plant conditions (on annual basis) as required

in Scope of work (Qg, Qo, Qw, WHFP, BHFP)

- 1.4.2. PEEP project of all the prediction cases
- 1.4.3. Tabulated summary of Economic variables & indicators
- 1.4.4. Techno-commercial comparison of WHC & FEC
- 1.4.5. Proposed layouts (Facilities/ Gathering System/ Compression Nodes)

9. Terms & Conditions

2.3. General

- 2.1.1. It will be preferred that all phases of the study should be carried out at one location. However, in COVID-19 or similar situation if required, location may be changed with the approval GM (Reservoir Management), OGDCL.
- 2.1.2. The study will be carried out by the same office invited to bid for the study.
- 2.1.3. The study will be conducted by the consultant with active participation and involvement of technical team of OGDCL.
- 2.1.4. **Data Review:** After signing of the contract, Consultant/ Firm will carry out data review in stipulated time. In this review, consultant will check whether the available data is enough to carry out all study phases to meet the objectives mentioned in TORs. If required, Consultant will recommend necessary data acquisition with mutual agreement with OGDCL. Any delay in study due to agreed data acquisition will be excluded from the study timeline. Consultant will incorporate all newly acquired data along with previously available dataset in the study. After incorporating this data in the study, consultant will be fully responsible for study outcomes and recommendations. Study will commence after having consultant's acceptance on efficacy of available data for the study.
- 2.1.5. All phases will have to be accomplished in association with OGDCL Reservoir Engineer/ Simulation Professionals, Reservoir Geologists, Geophysicist, Facility Engineer & Petrophysicist assigned with the consultants. The responsibility of the accomplishment of all kind of work/ studies will be on the consultant's part. However, the OGDCL /JV professionals from various disciplines will be attached from time to time for necessary inputs, understanding, and training.
- 2.1.6. Consultant will provide a dedicated team for this project, clearly mentioning Project coordinator, Team leads and team members avoiding repetition. The personnel carrying out the study should be dedicated fully to this study and will be available throughout their relevant phase of this study.
- 2.1.7. OGDCL may arrange a pre-bid meeting on request to explain the present status of field and objective of study. The consultants will participate in the meeting at their own cost.
- 2.1.8. **Payment Schedule:** Consultant will submit their invoices on each phase basis as described under <u>section 1 part 2 (Technical Approach</u>). The total cost of the study mentioned in the Financial Proposal by the consultant will be taxable as per Government of Pakistan Rules or any other amount announced time to time by GOP in this regard. OGDCL will release payments for each invoice after having acceptance of the relevant OGDCL professional through GM (Reservoir Management).
- 2.1.9. All geological, geophysical, drilling, testing, production, well logs, Wireline logs, core and fluid analysis data required for the study will be available to the consultant free of charge. Such material will be the property of OGDCL, and the Consultant will treat all data and information

supplied by OGDCL and those acquired by consultant during the implementation of the study with utmost confidentiality.

- 2.1.10. OGDCL reserves the right to discontinue any study/ any task/ any service related to above scope of work at any stage without assigning any reason. OGDCL reserves the right to reject the services of any professional provided by the consultant/ firm at any time/ any stage and hence it will be the responsibility of the consulting firm to provide the replacement without any delay accordingly.
- 2.1.11. Upon completion of the study, all the data shared for the purpose of study will be returned to OGDCL.
- 2.1.12. Apart from the wells/ fields given in these TORs, provision for 2 3 Future wells/ fields will be kept so that such wells/ fields may be incorporated in the study.

2.4. <u>Timing</u>

- 2.2.1. The project will commence with the signing of the contract. A detailed work plan should be submitted with the Technical Proposal. The total time of the study should not exceed 45 weeks.
- 2.2.2. However, in addition to the above mentioned 45 weeks, three (03) weeks for data collection/ review, two (02) weeks for presentations, four (04) weeks for phase wise model(s) review and two (02) weeks for draft report review will be given.
- 2.2.3. Study will commence from the acceptance of data by consultant after review.
- 2.2.4. For the purpose of liquidated damages (LDs), only the core 45 weeks will be considered, exclusive of data review, presentations, phase wise model reviews and draft report reviews.
- 2.2.5. Bidders will submit legible Gantt Charts in theirs bids as required in Annexure 1.
- 2.2.6. Firms/ Consultant giving time frame extending beyond 64 weeks will be considered NON-RESPONSIVE.

2.5. Submission of Proposals

- 2.3.1. Technical & Financial proposals should be given separately, in two sealed envelopes, clearly marked "<u>KPD-TAY Integrated Reservoir Simulation Study and Network Modelling".</u>
- 2.3.2. A soft copy (PDF) of the technical proposal must be submitted along with the hard copy.
- 2.3.3. The **technical** proposal must contain a brief history of consulting firm along with the information required in Annexure 1. OGDCL encourages the bidders to submit concise bids covering the required information.
- 2.3.4. The financial bid should contain phase wise break down of the costs (in USD) for Kunnar Pasakhi Deep Fields (Section 2), Tando Allah Yar & Nim Block Fields (Section 3) and KPD Satellite Fields (Section 4).
- 2.3.5. Consultant will provide the study cost break up as required in table below. However, for the purpose of financial evaluation, only total (lump sum) cost (in column # 6) will be considered.

1	2	3	4	5	6
Sr. No.	Study Phase	Kunnar – Pasakhi Deep Fields	Tando Allah Yar & Nim Block Fields	KPD Satellite Fields	Total cost in USD
1	Phase I				
2	Phase II				
3	Phase III				
4	Phase IV				
5	Phase V				
6	Total (including all applicable taxes duties and Levies etc. except Provincial Sales Tax/ Islamabad Capital Territory Tax on Services in Pakistan.)				

Table 1

Phase wise cost breakdown

2.6. Evaluation Criteria

2.4.1. The **Technical** evaluation will be based on the criteria below. These criteria have been further elaborated and sub categorized in Annexure – 1.

Sr. No.	Category	Points
1	Firm Experience	25
2	Technical approach	30
3	Work Plan & Skill transfer plan	15
4	Professionals' Qualification & Experience	30
	Total	100

Table 2

Evaluation Criteria

- 2.4.2. Criteria for selection of the consultant will be based on Clause-3B of PPRA Rules (Quality & Cost Based Selection).
- 2.4.3. 80% weight age will be given for technical evaluation and 20% for financial evaluation. The lowest bidder will attain maximum marks in financial evaluation and others would be ranked on the sliding scale.
- 2.4.4. The points obtained in technical evaluation and financial evaluation will then be combined and bidder attaining maximum points will be awarded the contract.
- 2.4.5. Firm/ Consultant having total firm experience less than 15 years in Reservoir Studies will be considered NON-RESPONSIVE.
- 2.4.6. The consultant will assign dedicated team leads and their alternates to various disciplines involved in this study. Team leads will choose two or more members for their respective teams

which will also be dedicated to this project. Study group consisting of team leads, their alternatives and team members will be specified by the firm/ consultant as required in table below. However, for category # 4 of evaluation criteria i.e. "Professionals' Qualification & Experience" only team leads will be evaluated as OGDCL will fix responsibility for working on team leads of their respective discipline.

Sr. No.	Discipline	Team Leader	Alternate Team Lead	Team Members		
1.	Geophysics					
2.	Petrophysicist					
3.	Development Geology/ Geomodelling					
4.	Reservoir Engineering					
5.	Simulation Engineering					
6.	Network Modeling & Facility Design					



Consultant's Team for the project

- 2.4.7. In case of defection of any dedicated team lead/ member, the consultant will be responsible to engage a professional of similar domain/ caliber.
- 2.4.8. The consultant shall provide at its own expense suitably qualified personnel to act as Project Coordinator to ensure efficient performance of the studies to achieve the objectives. Project coordinator may or may not be a part of above tabulated team. The Project Coordinator shall act on behalf of the consultant and shall be responsible for supervising all the consultant's Work responsibilities, and for maintaining liaison between the Company and the consultant.
 - 2.4.9. Bidding firm/ consultant should submit unambiguously, all relevant information required for evaluation according to criteria mentioned in 2.4.1 of this section and further elaborated and sub categorized in Annexure 1.
- 2.4.10. Bidders may request clarifications on evaluation criteria before submitting bid. After the submission no reservation, excuse, justification would be accepted from any of the bidders.
- 2.4.11. OGDCL urges the bidder to stay to the point in their bids and avoid submitting unnecessary detail or marketing stuff with the bid.
- 2.4.12. Bidders scoring minimum in any of the subcategories given in Annexure 1 will be considered responsive except those mentioned in under 2.2.3 and 2.4.5 of Terms and conditions of these TORs.
- 2.4.13. The **financial** proposal of bidders obtaining less than 80% points in total and less than 70% points in each category (Tabulated under para 2.4.1) in the technical evaluation will not be opened and treated as NON-RESPONSIVE.

2.7. <u>Software Compatibility</u>

- 2.5.1. Following G&G and RE software are available with OGDCL. The consultants/ firms will be required to provide all the work on the software listed below:
 - a. Petrel (G&G, RE)
 - b. ECLIPSE
 - c. Intersect
 - d. Ecrin (Saphir, Topaz)
 - e. PETEX IPM Suite
 - f. OFM
 - g. PEEP
 - h. Techlog/ DS Petrophysics
- 2.5.2. The consultant must use the Intersect software for Reservoir Simulation. Optimum case of final data file should also be converted in the "Eclipse Office" format.
- 2.5.3. Consultant may opt to use software other than those available at OGDCL considering the evaluation criteria (Annexure 1). However, OGDCL would require final models in formats compatible with software available at OGDCL as mentioned in 2.5.1 for future inhouse updates and it will be consultant's responsibility to provide error free model as per OGDCL's requirement. Consultant will seek acceptance of such formats after demonstrating their compatibility with software mentioned in 2.5.1 at OGDCL's systems.

2.8. OGDCL/ JV Partner Participation and Skill Transfer

- 2.6.1. OGDCL's seven (07) Professionals (including Geophysicist, Petrophysicist, Develop Geologist, Basic Reservoir Engineer, Simulation Engineer, Facility Engineer & Reservoir Monitoring Engineer) will participate in performing the full field 3D Reservoir Simulation Study of KPD fields including Geophysical, Geological, Petrophysical, Basic Reservoir Engineering (i.e. Well Test analysis, RTA, PTA, DCA & Material Balance Models), building of Static Model, building of Dynamic Model, Initialization, History Matching, Predictions runs, Network Modeling, Compression Study and Economics for their inputs.
- 2.6.2. OGDCL & JV Partners will also participate in TAY block fields study including Geophysical, Geological, Petrophysical, Basic Reservoir Engineering (including Well Test analysis, RTA, PTA, DCA & Material Balance Models), Network Modeling, Compression Study and economics for their inputs.
- 2.6.3. Consultant will involve OGDCL & JV Partner professionals in all five (05) phases of the study.
- 2.6.4. OGDCL & JV Partner may like to have this study accomplish in association with its professionals without any financial impact on the consultant. However, consultant will provide computer, international phone, internet facility and office space for working. Consultant will also provide

transport from residence to office. The responsibility of the accomplishment of all kind of Work/ Studies will lie on the consultant.

2.6.5. OGDCL & JV Partner professionals in different disciplines will be attached with the consultant time to time for crucial inputs and supervision. Due to importance and uniqueness of this study which includes multi-disciplinary work, combined modeling of 19 fields and its output will be utilized for compressor design of KPD-TAY fields, GM (RMD) will decide phase wise schedule & duration of participation of OGDCL & JV Partners' professionals after having discussion with consultant according to study volume and requirement.

KPD-TAY Intergrated Reservoir Simulation Study and Network Modeling			
	Technical evaluation Criteria		
Sr. No.	Category	Points	
Note:	a. The consultant must provide Hard as well as soft (PDF) copies of Technical Bid. b. A legible Gantt chart will be provided by the consultant covering all the activities included Category 3.	100.00	
1	Firm Experience	25.00	
1.1	Total Experience of the firm/ consultant in Reservoir Studies	10.00	
	45 or more Years	10.00	
	30 or more Years	7.50	
	15 or more Years	5.00	
	Less than 15 Years	Disqualified	
Note:	 a. Firm/ Consultant having Total Experience less than 15 years in Reservoir Studies will not be entertained. Therefore, consultant should clearly mention its total relevent experience as reservoir studies consultant with proof/ Completion certificate. b. Personnel Experience will not be taken as substitute of Firm/ Consultant Experience 		
1.2	Experience of the firm/ consultant in last five (05) years in similar projects internationally (other than Pakistan, India & Iran)	10.00	
	30 or more Projects/ Studies	10.00	
	20 or more Projects/ Studies	8.00	
	10 or more Projects/ Studies	6.00	
	5 to 9 Projects/ Studies	4.00	
	Less than 5 Projects/ Studies	Disqualified	
Note:	 a. Company Name, Name of the project, Country, Study Year, Type of Reservoir, Fluid Type, Number of wells, Software used & Brief description of Problem Statement/ Scope of Work (8 - 10 Lines max) should be clearly mentioned in a table to support the claim. b. Only those Projects/ Studies will be considered for evaluation for which information reqiured in Note "a" would be provided. c. Consultant should clearly mention only the relevent studies/ Projects. 		
1.3	Experience of the firm/ consultant in last five (05) years in similar projects in Pakistan and similar regions (India & Iran)	5.00	
	15 or more Projects/ Studies	5.00	
	10 or more Projects/ Studies	4.00	
	5 or more Projects/ Studies	3.00	
	2 to 4 Projects/ Studies	1.50	
	Less than 2 Projects/ Studies	Disqualified	
Note:	 a. Company Name, Name of the project, Country, Study Year, Type of Reservoir, Fluid Type, Number of wells, Software used & Brief description of Problem Statement/ Scope of Work (8 - 10 Lines max) should be clearly mentioned in a table to support the claim. b. Only those Projects/ Studies will be considered for evaluation for which information required in Note "a" would be provided. c. Consultant should clearly mention only the relevent studies/ Projects. 		
2	Technical Approach	30.00	

2.1	OGDCL's satisfaction on Previous studies	
	Excellent	5.00
	Good	4.00
	Average	2.00
	Poor	Disqualified
Note:	 a. OGDCL will rate firm/ consultant according to OGDCL's experience in past studies i.e. post study implementation of study recommendations and outcomes including IRS, Geological & Reserves certification studies etc. with that firm/ consultant. b. Firm/ Consultant should clearly mention past studies carried out for OGDCL. c. Firms/ Consultant appearing for the first time in OGDCL will be rated as "Good". 	
2.2	Technical approach w.r.t. OGDCL's TORs	20.00
2.2.1	Data Review	4.00
2.2.2	Basic Geological, Geophysical & Petrophysics	4.00
2.2.3	Basic Reservoir Engineering	4.00
2.2.4	Static and Dynamic modeling	4.00
2.2.5	Network Modeling	4.00
Note:	 a. OGDCL will rate firm/ consultant according to relevance with scope of work given in TORs. b. Maximum marks carried by each item are given. Consultant will score between 0 and maximum marks. c. Marking will be made with the best, unbiased and thoroghly professional judgement by OGDCL. 	
2.3	Compatible technical softwares Usage as available to OGDCL (Petrel (G&G & RE), DS Petrophysics, Techlog, Eclipse, Intersect, OFM, IPM Suite, & Kappa Suite (Saphir & Topaze), PEEP)	5.00
	100% in line with TORs	5.00
	50 - 99%	2.50
	Less than 50%	Disqualified
Note:	a. Firms/ Consultant using softwares other than mentioned above but submiting final models in format compatible with above-mentioned software will be given 2.5 marks. It will be consultant's responsibility to provide error free, future updatable models in OGDCL's software formats as mentioned in TORs.	
3	Work Plan & Skill Transfer Plan	15.00
Note:	a. A Gantt chart will be provided by the consultant for covering all the activities included in the Work plan over the course of the study.	
3.1	<i>Timeline (Including Data Collection, Final Report & Presentation) - 56</i> <i>Weeks</i>	5.00
	Less Than or equal to 48 Weeks	5.00
	48 to 56 Weeks	4.00
	57 to 64 Weeks	2.00
	More than 64 weeks	Disqualified
Note:	a. For the purpose of evaluation, total time including study, data collection, presentations, phase wise model reviews and draft report review will be considered.	
3.2	Interaction Plan (Presentations, Meetings, & Reports)	5.00
	25 or more Reports and Presentations/ Meetings	5.00
	20 or more Reports and Presentations/ Meetings	4.00
	15 or more Reports and Presentations/ Meetings	3.00

	Less than 15 Reports and Presentations/ Meetings	
Note:	 a. Purpose of these presentaions and reports is better interaction between OGDCL and consultant's teams leading to better understanding and execution of this study accordingly. b. OGDCL envisages a (1) kick off meeting, a (1) data review meeting, at least fifteen (15) Biweekly progress reports followed by a meeting within three (3) days of report submission, five (5) phase wise reports and presentation/ meeting, two (2) successive Draft reports and a final report and final presentation. These add up to ~25 reports and ~25 presentations/ meetings over the course of study. c. Interaction plans consisting of less than 15 reports and presentations (each) will be rated as zero (0). 	
3.3	Technical Sessions (Phase wise Workflows) - With Knowledge transfer theme	3.00
	10 or more	3.00
	7 or more	2.40
	5 or more	1.80
	Less than 5	Disqualified
Note:	 a. Purpose of these sessions is Knowledge sharing b. OGDCL envisages two technical session for each phase covering general workflow, relevency and implementation to this study, challages encountered, their solutions, and way forward. These sessions should not exceed 3 hrs each. (10 sessions in total) c. These session will purely be of technical nature and should address basic to advanced levels for audience ranging from entry level professionals to mid careers. d. A Separate Gantt chart will be provided of these session 	
3.4	Participation of OGDCL's Personnel	2.00
	Hands-on	2.00
	Combination of Physical & Virtual	1.50
	Virtual only	1.00
	No Participation Plan	Disqualified
Note:	 a. Presentations & Technical session mentioned in 3.2 & 3.3 will not be a substitute of this participation plan. b. "Hands-on " means that OGDCL's nominees will participate physically in relevent phases of the study in consultant's office and consultant will give a firm plan. c. "Virtual only" means that only virtual participation via vedio link will be carried out and consultant will submit firm participation plan. d. "Combination of Hands-on & Virtual" means that both physical & virtual participation will partially be carried out phase wise as per TOR and consultant will submit firm participation plan accordingly. e. Firm/consultant submitting no firm participation plan will be given zero (0) marks. f. Firn/consultant should provide a Separate Gantt chart for participation plan. 	
4		
	Professionals' Qualification & Experience	30.00
Note:	Professionals' Qualification & Experience a. Firm/ Consultant shall provide a dedicated team lead for every discipine along with a back up candidate. b. For evaluation puposes, only Team leads' qualification & experience will be rated	30.00
Note:	Professionals' Qualification & Experience a. Firm/ Consultant shall provide a dedicated team lead for every discipine along with a back up candidate. b. For evaluation puposes, only Team leads' qualification & experience will be rated Qualification	30.00 10.00
Note: 4.1 Note:	 Professionals' Qualification & Experience a. Firm/ Consultant shall provide a dedicated team lead for every discipine along with a back up candidate. b. For evaluation puposes, only Team leads' qualification & experience will be rated Qualification a. BS means Bachelor of Science equivalent to 16 years education while MS means Master of Science equivalent to 18 years education. 	30.00 10.00
Note: 4.1 Note:	 Professionals' Qualification & Experience a. Firm/ Consultant shall provide a dedicated team lead for every discipine along with a back up candidate. b. For evaluation puposes, only Team leads' qualification & experience will be rated Qualification a. BS means Bachelor of Science equivalent to 16 years education while MS means Master of Science equivalent to 18 years education. Project Manager 	30.00 10.00 1.00
Note: 4.1 Note:	Professionals' Qualification & Experience a. Firm/ Consultant shall provide a dedicated team lead for every discipine along with a back up candidate. b. For evaluation puposes, only Team leads' qualification & experience will be rated Qualification a. BS means Bachelor of Science equivalent to 16 years education while MS means Master of Science equivalent to 18 years education. Project Manager MS or higher	30.00 <i>10.00</i> 1.00 1.00

	Geophysicist	1.50
	BS and MS Geophysics or higher	1.50
	BS or MS Geophysics or higher	1.20
	Both BS and MS in other disciplines	0.60
	Petrophysicist	1.00
	BS and MS Petroleum Engg/ Geology/ Geophysics or higher	1.00
	BS or MS Petroleum Engg/ Geology/ Geophysics or higher	0.80
	Both BS and MS in other disciplines	0.40
	Development Geologist/ GeoModeler	2.00
	BS and MS Geology or higher	2.00
	BS or MS Geology or higher	1.60
	Both BS and MS in other disciplines	0.80
	Reservoir Engineer	1.50
	BS and MS Petroleum Engg or higher	1.50
	BS or MS Petroleum Engg or higher	1.20
	Both BS and MS in other disciplines	0.60
	Simulation Engineer	2.00
	BS and MS Petroleum Engg or higher	2.00
	BS or MS Petroleum Engg or higher	1.60
	Both BS and MS in other disciplines	0.80
	Facilities Engineer	1.00
	BS and MS Petroleum/ Mechanical Engg or higher	1.00
	BS or MS Petroleum/ Mechanical Engg or higher	0.80
	Both BS and MS in other disciplines	0.40
	Both Be and Me in other disciplines	0.10
4.2	Experience	20.00
4.2 Note:	Experience a. Only relevent experience will be considered for evaluation purpose instead of Total Experience. Therefore, Consultant should clearly mention the number of years of relevent experience along with experience timeline and key projects undertaken by each personnel to support the claimed experience.	20.00
4.2 Note:	Experience a. Only relevent experience will be considered for evaluation purpose instead of Total Experience. Therefore, Consultant should clearly mention the number of years of relevent experience along with experience timeline and key projects undertaken by each personnel to support the claimed experience. Project Manager	20.00
4.2 Note:	Experience a. Only relevent experience will be considered for evaluation purpose instead of Total Experience. Therefore, Consultant should clearly mention the number of years of relevent experience along with experience timeline and key projects undertaken by each personnel to support the claimed experience. Project Manager 20 Years or more	20.00 2.00 2.00
4.2 Note:	Experience a. Only relevent experience will be considered for evaluation purpose instead of Total Experience. Therefore, Consultant should clearly mention the number of years of relevent experience along with experience timeline and key projects undertaken by each personnel to support the claimed experience. Project Manager 20 Years or more 15 to 19 Years	20.00 2.00 2.00 1.60
4.2 Note:	Experience a. Only relevent experience will be considered for evaluation purpose instead of Total Experience. Therefore, Consultant should clearly mention the number of years of relevent experience along with experience timeline and key projects undertaken by each personnel to support the claimed experience. Project Manager 20 Years or more 15 to 19 Years 10 to 14 Years	2.00 2.00 1.60 1.20
4.2 Note:	Experience a. Only relevent experience will be considered for evaluation purpose instead of Total Experience. Therefore, Consultant should clearly mention the number of years of relevent experience along with experience timeline and key projects undertaken by each personnel to support the claimed experience. Project Manager 20 Years or more 15 to 19 Years 10 to 14 Years 5 to 9 Years	2.00 2.00 1.60 1.20 0.50
4.2 Note:	Experience a. Only relevent experience will be considered for evaluation purpose instead of Total Experience. Therefore, Consultant should clearly mention the number of years of relevent experience along with experience timeline and key projects undertaken by each personnel to support the claimed experience. Project Manager 20 Years or more 15 to 19 Years 10 to 14 Years 5 to 9 Years Less than 5 Years	2.00 2.00 1.60 1.20 0.50 Disqualified
4.2 Note:	Experience a. Only relevent experience will be considered for evaluation purpose instead of Total Experience. Therefore, Consultant should clearly mention the number of years of relevent experience along with experience timeline and key projects undertaken by each personnel to support the claimed experience. Project Manager 20 Years or more 15 to 19 Years 10 to 14 Years 5 to 9 Years Less than 5 Years Geophysicist	2.00 2.00 1.60 1.20 0.50 Disqualified 3.00
4.2 Note:	Experience a. Only relevent experience will be considered for evaluation purpose instead of Total Experience. Therefore, Consultant should clearly mention the number of years of relevent experience along with experience timeline and key projects undertaken by each personnel to support the claimed experience. Project Manager 20 Years or more 15 to 19 Years 10 to 14 Years 5 to 9 Years Less than 5 Years 20 Years or more	2.00 2.00 1.60 1.20 0.50 Disqualified 3.00 3.00
4.2 Note:	Experience a. Only relevent experience will be considered for evaluation purpose instead of Total Experience. Therefore, Consultant should clearly mention the number of years of relevent experience along with experience timeline and key projects undertaken by each personnel to support the claimed experience. Project Manager 20 Years or more 15 to 19 Years 10 to 14 Years 5 to 9 Years Less than 5 Years 20 Years or more 15 to 19 Years 10 to 14 Years 5 to 9 Years Less than 5 Years 20 Years or more 15 to 19 Years	2.00 2.00 2.00 1.60 1.20 0.50 Disqualified 3.00 3.00 2.40
4.2 Note:	Experience a. Only relevent experience will be considered for evaluation purpose instead of Total Experience. Therefore, Consultant should clearly mention the number of years of relevent experience along with experience timeline and key projects undertaken by each personnel to support the claimed experience. Project Manager 20 Years or more 15 to 19 Years 10 to 14 Years 5 to 9 Years Less than 5 Years 20 Years or more 15 to 19 Years 10 to 14 Years 20 Years or more 15 to 19 Years 10 to 14 Years 20 Years or more 15 to 19 Years 10 to 14 Years	2.00 2.00 1.60 1.20 0.50 Disqualified 3.00 2.40 1.80
4.2 Note:	Experience a. Only relevent experience will be considered for evaluation purpose instead of Total Experience. Therefore, Consultant should clearly mention the number of years of relevent experience along with experience timeline and key projects undertaken by each personnel to support the claimed experience. Project Manager 20 Years or more 15 to 19 Years 10 to 14 Years 5 to 9 Years 20 Years or more 15 to 19 Years 10 to 14 Years 5 to 9 Years 20 Years or more 15 to 19 Years 10 to 14 Years 5 to 9 Years 10 to 14 Years 5 to 19 Years 10 to 14 Years 5 to 19 Years 10 to 14 Years 5 to 19 Years 10 to 14 Years 5 to 9 Years 10 to 14 Years 5 to 9 Years	2.00 2.00 2.00 1.60 1.20 0.50 Disqualified 3.00 2.40 1.80 0.75
4.2 Note:	Experience a. Only relevent experience will be considered for evaluation purpose instead of Total Experience. Therefore, Consultant should clearly mention the number of years of relevent experience along with experience timeline and key projects undertaken by each personnel to support the claimed experience. Project Manager 20 Years or more 15 to 19 Years 10 to 14 Years 5 to 9 Years Less than 5 Years 20 Years or more 15 to 19 Years Less than 5 Years	2.00 2.00 2.00 1.60 1.20 0.50 Disqualified 3.00 2.40 1.80 0.75 Disqualified
4.2 Note:	Experience a. Only relevent experience will be considered for evaluation purpose instead of Total Experience. Therefore, Consultant should clearly mention the number of years of relevent experience along with experience timeline and key projects undertaken by each personnel to support the claimed experience. Project Manager 20 Years or more 15 to 19 Years 10 to 14 Years 5 to 9 Years Less than 5 Years 20 Years or more 15 to 19 Years 20 Years or more 20 Years or more 15 to 19 Years 20 Years or more 21 5 to 19 Years 20 Years or more 15 to 19 Years 10 to 14 Years 5 to 9 Years Less than 5 Years Less than 5 Years Petrophysicist	2.00 2.00 2.00 1.60 1.20 0.50 Disqualified 3.00 2.40 1.80 0.75 Disqualified 2.00
4.2 Note:	Experience a. Only relevent experience will be considered for evaluation purpose instead of Total Experience. Therefore, Consultant should clearly mention the number of years of relevent experience along with experience timeline and key projects undertaken by each personnel to support the claimed experience. Project Manager 20 Years or more 15 to 19 Years 10 to 14 Years 5 to 9 Years Less than 5 Years 20 Years or more 15 to 19 Years 10 to 14 Years 5 to 9 Years Less than 5 Years 20 Years or more 15 to 19 Years 20 Years or more 21 5 to 19 Years 10 to 14 Years 5 to 9 Years Less than 5 Years Less than 5 Years Less than 5 Years 20 Years or more 20 Years or more	2.00 2.00 2.00 1.60 1.20 0.50 Disqualified 3.00 2.40 1.80 0.75 Disqualified 2.00 2.00
4.2 Note:	Experience a. Only relevent experience will be considered for evaluation purpose instead of Total Experience. Therefore, Consultant should clearly mention the number of years of relevent experience along with experience timeline and key projects undertaken by each personnel to support the claimed experience. Project Manager 20 Years or more 15 to 19 Years 10 to 14 Years 5 to 9 Years Less than 5 Years 20 Years or more 15 to 19 Years 10 to 14 Years 5 to 9 Years Less than 5 Years 20 Years or more 15 to 19 Years 10 to 14 Years 5 to 9 Years Less than 5 Years Less than 5 Years 20 Years or more 15 to 19 Years 20 Years or more 15 to 19 Years	2.00 2.00 2.00 1.60 1.20 0.50 Disqualified 3.00 2.40 1.80 0.75 Disqualified 2.00 2.00 1.60
4.2 Note:	Experience a. Only relevent experience will be considered for evaluation purpose instead of Total Experience. Therefore, Consultant should clearly mention the number of years of relevent experience along with experience timeline and key projects undertaken by each personnel to support the claimed experience. Project Manager 20 Years or more 15 to 19 Years 10 to 14 Years 5 to 9 Years Less than 5 Years 20 Years or more 15 to 19 Years 20 Years or more 20 Years or more 15 to 9 Years Less than 5 Years Less than 5 Years 20 Years or more 15 to 19 Years 10 to 14 Years 5 to 9 Years Less than 5 Years Less than 5 Years Less than 5 Years Less than 5 Years 20 Years or more 15 to 19 Years 10 to 14 Years 10 to 14 Years	2.00 2.00 2.00 1.60 1.20 0.50 Disqualified 3.00 2.40 1.80 0.75 Disqualified 2.00 2.00 1.60 1.20

Less than 5 Years	Disqualified
Development Geologist/ GeoModeler	4.00
20 Years or more	4.00
15 to 19 Years	3.20
10 to 14 Years	2.40
5 to 9 Years	1.00
Less than 5 Years	Disqualified
Reservoir Engineer	3.00
20 Years or more	3.00
15 to 19 Years	2.40
10 to 14 Years	1.80
5 to 9 Years	0.75
Less than 5 Years	Disqualified
Simulation Engineer	4.00
20 Years or more	4.00
15 to 19 Years	3.20
10 to 14 Years	2.40
5 to 9 Years	1.00
Less than 5 Years	Disqualified
Facilities Engineer	2.00
20 Years or more	2.00
15 to 19 Years	1.60
10 to 14 Years	1.20
5 to 9 Years	0.50
Less than 5 Years	Disqualified