

Technical Evaluation REPORT - PROC-FB/CB/PROD-2065/2017

Sr. #	Bidders	Technically responsive (Yes/No)	Reasons for Rejection
1	M/s DESCON Engg. Ltd Lahore	YES	Not applicable
2	M/s China HBP Science & Tech Corp, China	YES	Not applicable
3	M/s STI Servizi Italy	No	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. The bid is not as per tender specifications. b. All three separator are sized equally for different flow rates. c. The seam length to diameter ratio is the smallest as per quoted design parameters, which is not justified for tender purposes. d. No reply from bidder against queries received despite repeated reminders for E&I/controls as well.
4	M/s Indentor Intl. USA	No	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. The bid is not as per tender specifications. b. The bidder has not responded to the technical clarification despite emails. c. Incomplete technical details as per tender requirement.
5	M/s Morrells Intl Bahrain	No	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. The bid is not as per tender specifications. b. Supply record and customer satisfaction for similar projects is not as per tender. c. Not consecutive 5 years record as per tender requirement for U stamp. d. One of the units that is quoted as end user, the same is being quoted as a supply record in the other bid under same tender. e. Only two units with no E&P record for separators in last 3 years. f. U stamp is not valid and is applied since 2015. As per their reply the U stamp is "we are updating the U stamp and we will get it again ASAP"; demonstrate no VALID U stamp.
6	M/s Sichuan Corbic China	No	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Bucket and overflow weir type is not acceptable as per tender. b. MVT, HMI, servers, Operator stations, 8 port network switch, beacon

Technical Evaluation REPORT - PROC-FB/CB/PROD-2065/2017

			<p>light, sounding alarm, configuration machines, lightening arrestors, Junction boxes, and Explosion proof lights are not quoted.</p> <p>c. The bid is not as per tender specifications.</p>
7	M/s Emphor FZCO Dubai UAE	No	<p>a. The bid is not as per tender specifications.</p> <p>b. Battery pack and power source information not shared.</p> <p>c. Lighting arrestor not quoted. JB are non-explosion proof.</p> <p>d. Supply record and customer satisfaction for similar projects is not as per tender.</p>
8	M/s HMC Taxila	No	<p>e. The bid is not as per tender specifications.</p> <p>f. The manufacturer had delayed the similar project for Nashpa separation system.</p> <p>g. Late delivery record leading to customer dis satisfaction against the tender supply record and satisfaction certificates.</p> <p>h. No info given regarding transmitters, PLCs, HMI, servers, Operator stations, 8 port network switch, beacon lights, sounding alarms, configuration machines, lightening arrestors, Junction boxes, Explosion proof lights.</p>
9	M/s AJ Corporation Lahore	No	<p>a. The bid is not as per tender specifications.</p> <p>b. Supply record and customer satisfaction for similar projects is not as per tender.</p> <p>c. Controls system of tender not complied.</p> <p>d. PLC, HMI, servers, Operator stations, 8 port network switch, beacon light, sounding alarm, configuration machines, lightening arrestors, Junction boxes, Explosion proof lights not quoted.</p>
10	M/s Jereh Oil & Gas Engineering, China	YES	Not applicable