TECHNICAL EVALUATION REPORT

RFP NO: OGDCL-SCM-SERVICES-CB-EXPL-207746744-2023

HIRING OF SERVICES FOR 2D SEISMIC DATA RE-PROCESSING UPTO PRE-STACK TIME MIGRATION IN LAKHIRUD E.L.

TECHNICAL BID OPENING DATE: 06/06/2023

S. No.	Name of Bidder	Status (Technically Responsive/ Non- Responsive)	Remarks (Reasons of Rejection)
1	M/s Absolute Imaging, Canada	Technically Responsive	
2	M/s BGP CNPC, China	Technically Responsive	
3	M/s Dalmornefte Geophysica JSC, Russia	Non- Responsive	 The company could not fulfill the minimum required PSTM processing projects (onshore projects) in the last 5 years as per TOR Annexure-IV in cat .No.1.The client satisfaction reports for the completed projects in last 5 years is not available. The hardware version as per the provided details is older than 5 years which is not filling our hardware requirement in TOR Annexure-IV in Cat.No.3 Didn't meet the desired criteria as per TOR Annexure-IV in Cat.No.4
4	M/s Mari Service Division, MPCL, Pakistan	Non- Responsive	 The Bidders could not fulfill the mandatory requirements as per TOR Annexure-IV MSPC concluded projects only for MPCL, The client satisfaction reports other than MPCL for the completed projects in last 5 years is not available. Software versions are older than 3 years. Not 100% compliance initially even after query the compliance has ambiguity MSPC (Mari seismic processing center) is a business division of M/s MPCL and not registered as separate processing company, while M/s MPCL is not registered as processing company which was requirement for bid participation. Legal opinion has also taken from legal services dept. OGDCL

Note:

- 1. Bidder may submit grievance within Seven (07) days of announcement of Technical Evaluation Report.
- 2. The grievance if any shall be sent to bgrc@ogdcl.com as per format available on OGDCL website.

TECHNICAL EVALUATION SHEET

RFP NO: OGDCL-SCM-SERVICES-CB-EXPL-207746744-2023

HIRING OF SERVICES FOR 2D SEISMIC DATA RE-PROCESSING UPTO PRE-STACK TIME IN LAKHIRUD E.L.

Annexure-V

Sr.	Description (Test Line Data LKR-29)	KPI's	KPI's (Marks)	Total Marks	M/S Absolute Imaging, Canada	M/S BGP CNPC, China	M/s Dalmornefte Geophysica JSC, Russia	M/s Mari Services, MPCL, Pakistan	
1	Final Un-migrated (With Post Stack Processing) image quality of line.	Quality of Signal to noise ratio	Excellent = 3VeryGood = 2.5 $Good = 2$ Average = 1.5BelowAverage = 1Poor = 0	3	1.5	2.5			
		Fault plan Resolution	Excellent = 3 Very Good = 2.5 Good = 2 Average = 1.5 Below Average = 1 Poor = 0	3	2	1.5			
		Structural Imaging	Excellent = 3 Very Good = 2.5 Good = 2 Average = 1.5 Below Average = 1 Poor = 0	3	2	2.5	The Bidders could not fulfill the mandatory		
		Overall Reflector Continuity /Coherency	Excellent = 3 Very Good = 2.5 Good = 2 Average = 1.5 Below Average = 1 Poor = 0	3	2	2.5	requirements as per TOR Annexure-IV due to which test line data was not shared and considered non-		
			Sub-Total	12	7.5	9			
	Final Un-migrated (Without Post Stack Processing) image quality of line.	Quality of Signal to noise ratio	Excellent = 3VeryGood = 2.5 Good = 2Average = 1.5BelowAverage = 1Poor = 0	3	2	2.5	responsive.	BIVC.	
2		Fault plan Resolution	Excellent = 3VeryGood = 2.5 Good = 2Average = 1.5BelowAverage = 1Poor = 0	3	2.5	2			
		Structural Imaging	Excellent = 3 Very Good = 2.5 Good = 2	3	1.5	2			

			Average = 1.5 BelowAverage = 1 Poor = 0				
		Overall Reflector Continuity /Coherency	Excellent = 3 Very Good = 2.5 Good = $2Average = 1.5 BelowAverage = 1 Poor = 0$	3	2	2.5	
			Sub-Total	12	8	9	
3	Final POSTM Stack (With Post Stack Sequence) image quality of line.	Quality of Signal to noise ratio	Excellent = 2 Very Good = 1.5 Good = 1 Average = 0.5 Below Average = 0.25 Poor = 0	2	1	1	
		Fault plan Resolution	Excellent = 2 Very Good = 1.5 Good = 1 Average = 0.5 Below Average = 0.25 Poor = 0	2	1.5	0.5	
		Structural Imaging	Excellent = 2 Very Good = 1.5 Good = 1 Average = 0.5 Below Average = 0.25 Poor = 0	2	1.5	1	
		Overall Reflector Continuity /Coherency	Excellent = 2 Very Good = 1.5 Good = 1 Average = 0.5 Below Average = 0.25 Poor = 0	2	1	1.5	The Bidders could not fulfill the mandatory requirements as per TOR
			Sub-Total	8	5	4	Annexure-IV due to which test line data was not
	Final POSTM Stack (Without Post Stack Sequence) image quality of line	Quality of Signal to noise ratio	Excellent = 2 Very Good = 1.5 Good = 1 Average = 0.5 Below Average = 0.25 Poor = 0	2	1	0.5	shared and considered non- responsive.
4		Fault plan Resolution	Excellent = 2 Very Good = 1.5 Good = 1 Average = 0.5 Below Average = 0.25 Poor = 0	2	1.5	0.25	
		Structural Imaging	Excellent = 2 Very Good = 1.5 Good = 1 Average = 0.5 Below Average = 0.25 Poor = 0	2	1.5	1	

		Overall Reflector Continuity /CoherencyExcellent = 2 $Good = 1$ Average = 0.5 $Average = 0.25$ $Poor = 0$		2	1.5	0.25
			Sub-Total	8	5.5	2
5	Final PSTM Stack (With Post Stack Sequence) image quality of line.	Quality of Signal to noise ratio	Excellent = 7.5 Very Good = 5 Good = 3 Average = 2 Below Average = 1 Poor = 0	7.5	5	5
		Fault plan Resolution	Excellent = 7.5 Very Good = 5 Good = 3 Average = 2 Below Average = 1 Poor = 0	7.5	6.5	4
		Structural Imaging	Excellent = 7.5 Very Good = 5 Good = 3 Average = 2 Below Average = 1 Poor = 0	7.5	6	4
		Overall Reflector Continuity /Coherency	Excellent = 7.5 Very Good = 5 Good = 3 Average = 2 Below Average = 1 Poor = 0	7.5	6	7
			Sub-Total	30	23.5	20
6	Final PSTM Stack (Without Post Stack Sequence) image quality of line.	Quality of Signal to noise ratio	Excellent = 7.5 Very Good = 5 Good = 3 Average = 2 Below Average = 1 Poor = 0	7.5	5	5
		Fault plan Resolution	Excellent = 7.5 Very Good = 5 Good = 3 Average = 2 Below Average = 1 Poor = 0	7.5	6.5	4

	Structural Imaging	Excellent = 7.5 Very Good = 5 Good = 3 Average = 2 Below Average = 1 Poor = 0	7.5	6	3.5		
	Overall Reflector Continuity /Coherency	Excellent = 7.5 Very Good = 5 Good = 3 Average = 2 Below Average = 1 Poor = 0	7.5	6	7		
		Sub-Total	30	23.5	19.5		
TOTAL MARKS (Qualifying Marks 60%)			100	73	63.5		
Remarks				Qualify	Qualify	Disqualify	Disqualify