## TECHNICAL EVALUATION REPORT – PROC-SERVICES/CB/PE&FD-4795/2020

Sr. #	Bidders	Technically responsive (Yes/No)	Reasons for Rejection
1	M/s Zishan Engineers Karachi	YES	Not applicable
2	M/s Velosi Integrity & Safety Pakistan	Yes (Not quoted work groups 2 & 12)	Accepted after BGRC review of the bidder's grievance. M/s VELOSI accepted to visit OGDCL sites in Pakistan as per tender. Bid declared technically responsive after BGRC clarification emailed to M/s VELOSI.
3	M/s Petrochem Engineering Consultants Karachi	YES	Not applicable
4	M/s ENAR Petrotech Services Karachi	YES	Not applicable
5	M/s Optimum Engineering Services Karachi	YES (only for Work Groups 1,3,4,5,6,8,9 ,11,13,14,15)	Not applicable (NOT QUOTED for 2, 7, 10 & 12)
6	M/s Petrochem Engineering Services Karachi	NO	Rejected under mandatory edibility criteria.  1. M/s PES experience is not as per tender requirement of mandatory clause 3 of table 15.  2. M/s PES has no relevant experience in upstream E&P/PEPCA for similar Engineering Consultancy. The bid is rejected under ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA (Mandatory) clause 3 of Table 15.0 at page 11 of 12 (TOR).  3. Even in the clarification reply, the project list submitted by the bidder is not satisfactory as per the requisites of this tender/TOR (as per tender clause 16 (2)). Either the projects are out dated (before 2015) or not as per the criteria set forth in the Eligibility Criteria as a mandatory requirement as Clause 15(3).  4. Project value and contact persons phone / emails details are missing despite clarification and reply received, as per clause 16(2). Even in the clarification reply, the project list submitted by the bidder is not satisfactory as per the requisites of this tender/TOR. Either the projects are out dated (before 2015) or not as per the criteria set forth in the Eligibility Criteria as a mandatory requirement.

Hammad Ahmed J.E (Mech) - PE & FD OGDCL, Islamabad, Ext: 2632 Conan Manager DCE (Mech.), PE & FD Ext: 2802