

**OIL & GAS DEVELOPMENT COMPANY LIMITED**

**TECHNICAL EVALUATION REPORT**

TENDER ENQUIRY # PROC-FB/CB/PRE-QUAL/CASING/D-4888/2020 FOR PRE-QUALIFICATION OF MANUFACTURERS/SUPPLIERS/BIDDERS FOR SUPPLY OF CASING PIPES ALONGWITH CASING ACCESSORIES

S. NO.	NAME OF BIDDER	RESPONSIVE YES/ NO	REMARKS
1	M/S TENARIS GLOBAL SERVICES S.A. URUGUAY.	YES (FOR ALL GROUPS)	ACCEPTED FOR ALL QUOTED GROUPS.I.E.GROUPS-A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H, I, J & K. OBTAINED SCORES AGAINST QUOTED GROUPS ARE AS UNDER; GROUP-A = 150 GOUPP-B = 150 GROUP-C = 150 GROUP-D = 150 GROUP-E = 155 GROUP-F = 195 GROUP-G = 190 GROUP-H= 185 GROUP-I = 190 GROUP-J = 185 GROUP-K = 57
2	M/S MARUBENI ITOUCHU TUBULARS ASIA PTE LTD SINGAPORE.	NO	REJECTED IN ALL QUOTED GROUPS I.E. GROUPS E,F, G & K. REJECTED IN GROUPS E,F & G DUE TO NON-COMPLIANCE OF CLAUSE # 9 OF MANDATORY REQUIREMENT FOR ACCEPTANCE AS BOTH THE QUOTED MANUFACTURERS I.E. M/S TUBOS REUNIDOS, SPAIN & M/S JESCO, SAUDI ARABIA DOES NOT HAVE API 5CT, PSL-3 CERTIFICATION FROM JANAUARY 2010. REJECTED DUE TO DEVIATION OF SPECS FOR 9-7/8" Q125HC CASING AGAINST GROUP-F AS QUOTED 14640 PSI BURST RATING FOR 9-7/8" CASING INSTEAD OF REQUIRED 15,000 PSI RATING. REJECTED DUE TO NON-COMPLIANCE OF PSL-3 REQUIREMENT OF MAX. YIELD STRENGTH (140 KSI) FOR 9-7/8" Q125HC CASING. REJECTED DUE TO DEVIATION OF SPECS FOR 13-3/8", 72 PPF HIGH COLLAPSE CASING AGAINST GROUP-E AS QUOTED 3510 PSI COLLAPSE RATING INSTEAD OF REQUIRED 3,900 PSI RATING. REJECTED IN GROUPS F & G DUE TO NON-COMPLIANCE OF CLAUSE # 11 OF MANDATORY REQUIREMENT FOR ACCEPTANCE AS DID NOT PROVIDED DATA SHEETS FOR 9-5/8", 125KSI, HC, 7", 35 PPF, 125 KSI & 7", 140KI, 32 PPF CASING. REJECTED IN GROUPS E, F & G DUE TO NON-COMPLIANCE OF CLAUSE # 1 OF TECHNICAL SCORING CRITERIA AS DID NOT PROVIDE LAST 10 YEARS SALE RECORD OF BOTH QUOTED CASING PIPE MANUFACTURERS FROM YEAR 2010. REJECTED IN GROUPS E, F & G DUE TO NON-COMPLIANCE OF CLAUSE # 3 OF TECHNICAL SCORING CRITERIA AS DID NOT PROVIDE LAST 10 YEARS CONSECUTIVE API 5CT, PSL-3 CERTIFICATES FROM JANUARY 2010 IN FAVOUR OF BOTH QUOTED MANUFACTURERS I.E. M/S TUBOS REUNIDOS, SPAIN & M/S JESCO, SAUDI ARABIA. REJECTED IN GROUPS F & G DUE TO NON-COMPLIANCE OF CLAUSE # 11 OF TECHNICAL SCORING CRITERIA AS DID NOT PROVIDE UNPRICES COPIES OF PURCHASE ORDERS FOR THE SUPPLY OF CASING WITH CHALLENGING COLLAPSE, BURST & TORQUES REQUIREMENTS. REJECTED IN GROUPS E, F & G DUE TO NON-COMPLIANCE OF CLAUSE # 13 OF TECHNICAL SCORING CRITERIA AS DID NOT PROVIDE LAST 10 YEARS SALE RECORD (FROM YEAR 2010) SUPPLIED TO E & P COMPANIES IN OTHER THAN MANUFACTURERS OWN COUNTRY FOR ALL QUOTED CASING PIPES FROM QUOTED MANUFACTURERS I.E. M/S TUBOS REUNIDOS, SPAIN & M/S JESCO, SAUDI ARABIA. REJECTED IN GROUPS E,F & G DUE TO NON-COMPLIANCE OF CLAUSE # 14 OF TECHNICAL SCORING CRITERIA AS DID NOT PROVIDE 05 NOS SATISFACTORY PERFORMANCE CERTIFICATES AGAINST EACH QUOTED ITEM FROM 05 DIFFERENT E & P COMPANIES NOT BELONGING TO MANUFACTURERS OWN COUNTRY. REJECTED IN GROUP F & G DUE TO NON-COMPLIANCE OF CLAUSE # 15 OF TECHNICAL SCORING CRITERIA AS DID NOT PROVIDED THE CAL-IV TEST REPORTS FOR THE WHOLE FAMILY OF QUOTED 9-5/8" & 7" CASING CONNECTION AS PER ANNEXURE G (ISO 13679:2002) & ALSO DID NOT PROVIDE CAL-IV REPORT FOR QUOTED 9-7/8", 125KSI CASING. REJECTED IN GROUPS E,F & G DUE TO NON-COMPLIANCE OF CLAUSE # 22 OF TECHNICAL SCORING CRITERIA AS DID NOT PROVIDE LAST 10 YEARS API 5CT OF QUOTED CASING ACCESSORIES MANUFACTURER I.E. M/S DOWN-HOLE PRODUCTS, UAE. REJECTED IN GROUPS E,F & G DUE TO NON-COMPLIANCE OF CLAUSE # 22 OF TECHNICAL SCORING CRITERIA AS DID NOT PROVIDE 10 UNPRICED COPIES OF DIFFERENT PURCHASE ORDERS SOLD TO 10 DIFFERENT E & P COMPANIES IN OTHER THAN MANUFACTURERS OWN COUNTRY AGAINST EACH QUOTED CASING ACCESSORIES. REJECTED IN GROUP-K DUE TO NON-COMPLIANCE OF CLAUSE # 6K OF TECHNICAL SCORING CRITERIA AS DID NOT PROVIDE PURCHASE ORDERS IN FAVOUR OF QUOTED CONDUCTOR PIPES MANUFACTURER I.E. M/S SEAH, KOREA. REJECTED IN GROUP-K ONLY DUE TO NON-COMPLIANCE OF CLAUSE # 24 OF TECHNICAL SCORING CRITERIA AS DID NOT PROVIDE API Q-1 CERTIFICATION FOR QUOTED CONDUCTOR PIPE MANUFACTURER OR BIDDER. OBTAINED SCORES AGAINST QUOTED GROUPS ARE AS UNDER; GROUP-E = 77 GROUP-F = 99 GROUP-G = 95 GROUP-K = 50


*Syed Ahrar Hussain*  
**SYED AHRAR HUSSAIN**  
Dy. Chef Engineer (Drilling)  
Ext: 2239

*M. Usman Awan*  
**MUHAMMAD USMAN AWAN**  
SE(DMP)-III  
Ext: 2923



*Muhammad Ismail*  
**MUHAMMAD ISMAIL**  
Sr. Engineer (Drilling)  
Ext: 2933

*[Signature]*

39

  
**SYED ANWAR HUSSAIN**  
 Dy. Chief Engineer (Drilling)  
 Ext: 2239

  
**MUHAMMAD USMAN AWAN**  
 SE(DMP)-III  
 Ext: 2923

  
**MUHAMMAD ISMAIL**  
 Sr. Engineer (Drilling)  
 Ext: 2933  


3	M/S MITSUI & CO, LTD, JAPAN.	YES (FOR GROUP-I ONLY)	<p>ACCEPTED FOR GROUP-I ONLY. REJECTED IN GROUP-D DUE TO DEVIATION OF SPECS AS QUOTED 14" CASING WITH DRIFT 12.213" INSTEAD OF REQUIRED 12.250" AS PER SUBMITTED DATA SHEET. REJECTED IN GROUP E DUE TO DEVIATION OF SPECS AS QUOTED 13-3/8" HIGH COLLAPSE GRADE, 72 PPF, WITH YIELD STRENGTH 125 KSI INSTEAD OF REQUIRED 110 KSI AS PER SUBMITTED DATA SHEET. REJECTED IN GROUP-E DUE TO DEVIATION OF SPECS AS QUOTED 9-5/8", Q125-HCX YIELD STRENGTH DOES NOT CONFORM TO PSL-3 I.E. MAX 140 KSI AND QUOTED 9-5/8", Q125-HCX YIELD STRENGTH IS 135 KSI INSTEAD OF REQUIRED 125 KSI AS PER SUBMITTED DATA SHEET. REJECTED IN GROUP-E DUE TO DEVIATION OF SPECS AS QUOTED 9-7/8", Q-125-HCX DRIFT IS 8.397" INSTEAD OF REQUIRED 8.5" AND MAXIMUM YIELD STRENGTH IS NOT 140 KSI. QUOTED 9-7/8", Q-125-HCX YIELD STRENGTH IS 135 KSI INSTEAD OF REQUIRED 125 KSI AS PER SUBMITTED DATA SHEET.</p> <p>REJECTED IN GROUP-F DUE TO DEVIATION OF SPECS AS QUOTED 7", 32 PPF, Q125-HCX WITH YIELD STRENGTH 135 KSI INSTEAD OF REQUIRED GRADE 140 KSI. QUOTED 7", 32 PPF, Q125-HCX COLLAPSE RATING IS 14330 PSI INSTEAD OF REQUIRED 14700 PSI. QUOTED 7", 32 PPF, Q125-HCX AND COLLAPSE RATING IS 14330 PSI INSTEAD OF 14700 PSI. REJECTED IN GROUP-F DUE TO DEVIATION OF SPECS AS QUOTED 7", 35 PPF, Q125-HCX WITH YIELD STRENGTH 135 KSI INSTEAD OF REQUIRED 125 KSI AND MAXIMUM YIELD STRENGTH DOES NOT CONFORM TO PSL-3 I.E. 140 KSI. REJECTED IN GROUPS D, E, F &amp; G DUE TO NON-COMPLIANCE OF CLAUSE # 10 OF TECHNICAL SCORING CRITERIA AS DID NOT PROVIDE CV'S OF CASING DESIGN/OPTIMIZATION ENGINEER OF QUOTED CASING PIPE MANUFACTURER I.E. JFE, JAPAN. REJECTED IN GROUPS D, E, F &amp; G DUE TO NON-COMPLIANCE OF CLAUSE # 13 OF TECHNICAL SCORING CRITERIA AS PROVIDED LAST 10 YEARS SALE RECORD (FROM YEAR 2010) SUPPLIED TO E &amp; P COMPANIES IN OTHER THAN MANUFACTURERS OWN COUNTRY DOES NOT CONFORM THE SALE REQUIREMENT FOR EACH QUOTED CASING GROUP. REJECTED IN GROUPS D, E, F &amp; G DUE TO NON-COMPLIANCE OF CLAUSE # 14 OF TECHNICAL SCORING CRITERIA AS DID NOT PROVIDE 05 NOS SATISFACTORY PERFORMANCE CERTIFICATES AGAINST EACH QUOTED ITEM FROM 05 DIFFERENT E &amp; P COMPANIES NOT BELONGING TO MANUFACTURERS OWN COUNTRY. REJECTED IN GROUP F &amp; G DUE TO NON-COMPLIANCE OF CLAUSE # 15 OF TECHNICAL SCORING CRITERIA AS DID NOT PROVIDED THE CAL-IV TEST REPORTS FOR THE WHOLE FAMILY OF QUOTED 9-5/8" &amp; 7" CASING CONNECTION AS PER ANNEXURE G (ISO 13679:2002) &amp; ALSO DID NOT PROVIDE CAL-IV REPORT FOR QUOTED 9-7/8", 125KSI. REJECTED IN GROUPS D, E, F &amp; G DUE TO NON-COMPLIANCE OF CLAUSE # 24 OF TECHNICAL SCORING CRITERIA AS DID NOT PROVIDE API Q-1 CERTIFICATION FOR QUOTED CASING PIPE MANUFACTURER OR BIDDER.</p> <p>OBTAINED SCORES AGAINST QUOTED GROUPS ARE AS UNDER:          GROUP-D = 108          GROUP-E = 110          GROUP-F = 136          GROUP-G = 137          GROUP-I = 188</p>
4	M/S TIANJIN PIPE INTERNATIONAL ECONOMIC & TRADING CORPORATION, CHINA.	YES (FOR GROUP-B & GROUP-E ONLY)	<p>ACCEPTED FOR GROUP-B &amp; GROUP-E ONLY. REJECTED IN GROUPS C &amp; D DUE TO NON-COMPLIANCE OF CLAUSE # 13 OF TECHNICAL SCORING CRITERIA AS PROVIDED LAST 10 YEARS SALE RECORD (FROM YEAR 2010) SUPPLIED TO E &amp; P COMPANIES IN OTHER THAN MANUFACTURERS OWN COUNTRY DOES NOT CONFORM THE SALE REQUIREMENT FOR THESE CASING GROUPS. REJECTED IN GROUPS C &amp; D DUE TO NON-COMPLIANCE OF CLAUSE # 14 OF TECHNICAL SCORING CRITERIA AS DID NOT PROVIDE 05 NOS SATISFACTORY PERFORMANCE CERTIFICATES AGAINST EACH QUOTED ITEM OF GROUP C &amp; D FROM 05 DIFFERENT E &amp; P COMPANIES NOT BELONGING TO MANUFACTURERS OWN COUNTRY. REJECTED IN GROUPS C &amp; D DUE TO NON-COMPLIANCE OF CLAUSE # 24 OF TECHNICAL SCORING CRITERIA AS DID NOT PROVIDE API Q-1 CERTIFICATION FOR QUOTED CASING PIPE MANUFACTURER OR BIDDER.</p> <p>OBTAINED SCORES AGAINST QUOTED GROUPS ARE AS UNDER:          GROUP-B = 153          GROUP-C = 121          GROUP-D = 121          GROUP-E = 160</p>
5	M/S SUMITOMO CORPORATION ASIA & OCEANIA PTE LTD, SINGAPORE.	YES (FOR GROUPS D, E, F, I, J & K ONLY)	<p>ACCEPTED FOR GROUPS D, E, F, I, J &amp; K ONLY. REJECTED IN GROUP-G DUE TO DEVIATION OF SPECS AS QUOTED 7", 32 PPF, 125 KSI GRADE INSTEAD OF REQUIRED 140 KSI GRADE. COLLAPSE AND BURST OF QUOTED 7", SM-125TT, 32 PPF CASING DOES NOT CONFORM TO REQUIRED COLLAPSE AND BURST RATING. QUOTED 7", 32 PPF, SM-125TT MAXIMUM YIELD STRENGTH IS 155 KSI INSTEAD OF REQUIRED 150 KSI. QUOTED 7", 35 PPF, SM-125TT MAXIMUM YIELD STRENGTH IS 155 KSI INSTEAD OF REQUIRED 140 KSI. REJECTED IN GROUP-H DUE TO NON-COMPLIANCE OF CLAUSE # 24 OF TECHNICAL SCORING CRITERIA AS DID NOT PROVIDE API Q-1 CERTIFICATION FOR QUOTED CASING PIPE MANUFACTURER OR BIDDER.</p> <p>OBTAINED SCORES AGAINST QUOTED GROUPS ARE AS UNDER:          GROUP-D = 150          GROUP-E = 157          GROUP-F = 205          GROUP-G = REJECTED DUE TO DEVIATION OF SPECIFICATIONS FOR 7", 140 KSI, 32 PPF &amp; 7", 125 KSI, 35 PPF CASING.          GROUP-I = 202          GROUP-J = 190          GROUP-K = 57</p>

38

6	M/S VOESTALPINE TUBULARS GMBH & CO KG, AUSTRIA.	YES ( FOR GROUP-G ONLY)	ACCEPTED FOR GROUP-G ONLY. REJECTED IN GROUP H DUE TO NON-COMPLIANCE OF CLAUSE # 13 OF TECHNICAL SCORING CRITERIA AS PROVIDED LAST 10 YEARS SALE RECORD (FROM YEAR 2010) SUPPLIED TO E & P COMPANIES IN OTHER THAN MANUFACTURERS OWN COUNTRY DOES NOT CONFORM THE SALE REQUIREMENT FOR THIS CASING GROUP. REJECTED IN GROUP-H DUE TO NON-COMPLIANCE OF CLAUSE # 15 OF TECHNICAL SCORING CRITERIA AS DID NOT PROVIDED THE CAL-II TEST REPORTS AS PER API 5C5:2017/ISO 13679:2002 FOR QUOTED FLUSH CONNECTION. REJECTED IN GROUP-H DUE TO NON-COMPLIANCE OF CLAUSE # 17 OF TECHNICAL SCORING CRITERIA AS QUOTED FLUSH CONNECTION DOES NOT CONFORM TO THE TECHNICAL REQUIREMENT OF TENSION & COMPRESSION RATING. REJECTED IN GROUP-H DUE TO NON-COMPLIANCE OF CLAUSE # 24 OF TECHNICAL SCORING CRITERIA AS DID NOT PROVIDE API Q-1 CERTIFICATION FOR QUOTED CASING PIPE MANUFACTURER OR BIDDER. OBTAINED SCORES AGAINST QUOTED GROUPS ARE AS UNDER; GROUP-G = 183 GROUP-H = 138
7	M/S NOV XL SYSTEM, SINGAPORE.	NO	REJECTED IN QUOTED GROUP-K DUE TO NON-COMPLIANCE OF CLAUSE # 6K OF TECHNICAL SCORING CRITERIA AS DID NOT PROVIDE 05 UNPRICED COPIES OF PURCHASE ORDERS FOR EACH QUOTED ITEM OF GROUP-K. REJECTED DUE TO NON-COMPLIANCE OF CLAUSE # 8 OF TECHNICAL SCORING CRITERIA AS QUOTED DELIVERY PERIOD > 6 MONTHS AND LESS THAN 9 MONTHS. REJECTED IN GROUP-K DUE TO NON-COMPLIANCE OF CLAUSE # 24 OF TECHNICAL SCORING CRITERIA AS DID NOT PROVIDE API Q-1 CERTIFICATION FOR QUOTED CONDUCTOR PIPE MANUFACTURER OR BIDDER. REJECTED IN GROUP-K DUE TO NON-COMPLIANCE OF CLAUSE # 21 OF MANDATORY REQUIREMENT FOR ACCEPTANCE AS DID NOT PROVIDE CLEAN ACCEPTANCE OF OGDCL TERMS & CONDITIONS. OBTAINED SCORE AGAINST QUOTED GROUP IS AS UNDER; GROUP-K = 40
8	M/S VALLOUREC ASIA PACIFIC PTE, LTD, SINGAPORE.	YES (FOR ALL GROUPS)	ACCEPTED FOR ALL QUOTED GROUPS.I.E.GROUPS-A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H, I, J & K. OBTAINED SCORES AGAINST QUOTED GROUPS ARE AS UNDER; GROUP-A = 155 GOUPP-B = 155 GROUP-C = 155 GROUP-D = 155 GROUP-E = 165 GROUP-F = 215 GROUP-G = 210 GROUP-H= 205 GROUP-I = 210 GROUP-J = 200 GROUP-K = 58



**MUHAMMAD ISMAIL**  
Sr. Engineer (Drilling)  
Ext: 2933



**MUHAMMAD USMAN AWAN**  
SE(DMP)-III  
Ext: 2923



**SYED ANWAR HUSSAIN**  
Dy. Chief Engineer (Drilling)  
Ext: 2239


37



**SYED AHRAR HUSSAIN**<sup>9</sup>  
Dy. Chief Engineer (Drilling)  
Ext: 2239



**MUHAMMAD USMAN AWAN**  
SE(DMP)-III  
Ext: 2923



**MUHAMMAD ISMAIL**  
Sr. Engineer (Drilling)  
Ext: 2933



	M/S HUNTING ENERGY SERVICES PTE LTD, SINGAPORE.	NO	<p>REJECTED IN ALL QUOTED GROUPS I.E. GROUPS B, C, D, E, F, G, H, I, &amp; J. REJECTED IN GROUP-F DUE TO DEVIATION OF SPECS AS QUOTED 9-5/8" HIGH COLLAPSE CASING DOES NOT CONFORM TO REQUIRED COLLAPSE RATING. REJECTED IN GROUP-G DUE TO DEVIATION OF SPECS AS QUOTED 7" HIGH COLLAPSE CASING DOES NOT CONFORM TO REQUIRED COLLAPSE RATING. REJECTED IN GROUPS F, G &amp; H AS DID NOT CONFIRM MAXIMUM YIELD STRENGTH TO 140 KSI AS PER REQUIREMENT. REJECTED IN ALL QUOTED GROUPS B,C,D,E,F,G,H, I, &amp; J DUE TO NON-COMPLIANCE OF CLAUSE # 9 OF MANDATORY REQUIREMENT FOR ACCEPTANCE AS DID NOT PROVIDE API 5CT, PSL-3 FROM JANUARY 2010 IN FAVOUR OF QUOTED CASING PIPE MANUFACTURERS AND ALSO SUBMITTED BID IS FROM THREADER INSTEAD OF CASING PIPE MANUFACTURER. REJECTED IN GROUPS B, C, D, E, F, G, I &amp; J DUE TO NON-COMPLIANCE OF CLAUSE # 11 OF MANDATORY REQUIREMENT FOR ACCEPTANCE AS DID NOT PROVIDED DATA SHEETS FOR 20", 18-5/8", 14", 13-3/8" HC, 9-7/8", 7", 4.5", 11-3/4" &amp; 13-5/8" CASING. REJECTED IN ALL QUOTED GROUPS I.E. GROUPS B, C, D, E, F, G, H, I, &amp; J DUE TO NON-COMPLIANCE OF CLAUSE # 1 OF TECHNICAL SCORING CRITERIA AS DID NOT PROVIDE LAST 10 YEARS SALE RECORD FROM QUOTED CASING PIPE MANUFACTURERS I.E. M/S JIANGSU CHANG BAO STEEL, CHINA, M/S HENGYANG VALIN STEEL TUBE CO, CHINA &amp; M/S TPCO, CHINA FROM YEAR 2010.</p> <p>REJECTED IN ALL QUOTED GROUPS I.E. GROUPS B, C, D, E, F, G, H, I, &amp; J DUE TO NON-COMPLIANCE OF CLAUSE # 2 OF TECHNICAL SCORING CRITERIA AS NO DOCUMENTS PROVIDED FOR HAVING LOCAL SUPPORT/REPRESENTATIVE OFFICE IN PAKISTAN IN FAVOUR OF QUOTED CASING PIPE MANUFACTURERS.</p> <p>REJECTED IN ALL QUOTED GROUPS I.E. GROUPS B,C,D,E,F,G,H, I, &amp; J DUE TO NON-COMPLIANCE OF CLAUSE # 3 OF TECHNICAL SCORING CRITERIA AS DID NOT PROVIDE LAST 10 YEARS CONSECUTIVE API 5CT, PSL-3 CERTIFICATES FROM JANUARY 2010 IN FAVOUR OF QUOTED CASING PIPE MANUFACTURERS.</p> <p>REJECTED IN ALL QUOTED GROUPS I.E. GROUPS B, C, D, E, F, G, H, I, &amp; J DUE TO NON-COMPLIANCE OF CLAUSE # 5 OF TECHNICAL SCORING CRITERIA AS QUOTED MOTHER CASING PIPE MANUFACTURERS AND IT'S THREADER ARE DIFFERENT.</p> <p>REJECTED IN ALL QUOTED GROUPS I.E. GROUPS B, C, D, E, F, G, H, I, &amp; J DUE TO NON-COMPLIANCE OF CLAUSE # 10 OF TECHNICAL SCORING CRITERIA AS DID NOT PROVIDE CV'S OF CASING DESIGN/OPTIMIZATION ENGINEER OF QUOTED CASING PIPE MANUFACTURERS.I.E. . M/S JIANGSU CHANG BAO STEEL, CHINA, M/S HENGYANG VALIN STEEL TUBE CO, CHINA &amp; M/S TPCO, CHINA. REJECTED IN ALL QUOTED CASING GROUPS I.E. GROUPS B, C, D, E, F, G, H, I, &amp; J DUE TO NON-COMPLIANCE OF CLAUSE # 13 OF TECHNICAL SCORING CRITERIA AS PROVIDED LAST 10 YEARS SALE RECORD (FROM YEAR 2010) SUPPLIED TO E &amp; P COMPANIES IN OTHER THAN MANUFACTURERS OWN COUNTRY DOES NOT CONFORM THE SALE REQUIREMENT FOR EACH QUOTED CASING ITEM AND IS ALSO FROM THREADER INSTEAD OF REQUIRED SALE RECORD FROM QUOTED CASING PIPE MANUFACTURERS. REJECTED IN ALL QUOTED CASING GROUPS I.E. GROUPS B, C, D, E, F, G, H, I, &amp; J DUE TO NON-COMPLIANCE OF CLAUSE # 14 OF TECHNICAL SCORING CRITERIA AS DID NOT PROVIDE 05 NOS SATISFACTORY PERFORMANCE CERTIFICATES AGAINST EACH QUOTED ITEM FROM 05 DIFFERENT E &amp; P COMPANIES NOT BELONGING TO MANUFACTURERS OWN COUNTRY IN FAVOUR OF QUOTED CASING PIPE MANUFACTURERS. REJECTED IN GROUP F, G &amp; I DUE TO NON-COMPLIANCE OF CLAUSE # 15 OF TECHNICAL SCORING CRITERIA AS DID NOT PROVIDED THE CAL-IV TEST REPORTS FOR THE WHOLE FAMILY OF QUOTED 9-5/8" &amp; 7" CASING CONNECTION AS PER ANNEXURE G (ISO 13679:2002) &amp; ALSO DID NOT PROVIDE CAL-IV REPORT FOR QUOTED 9-7/8" &amp; 4-1/2" CASING. REJECTED IN GROUP-H &amp; J DUE TO NON-COMPLIANCE OF CLAUSE # 15 OF TECHNICAL SCORING CRITERIA AS DID NOT PROVIDED THE CAL-II TEST REPORTS AS PER API 5C5:2017/ISO 13679:2002 FOR QUOTED FLUSH &amp; SEMI FLUSH CONNECTIONS. REJECTED IN GROUP-H DUE TO NON-COMPLIANCE OF CLAUSE # 17 OF TECHNICAL SCORING CRITERIA AS QUOTED FLUSH CONNECTION DOES NOT CONFORM TO THE TECHNICAL REQUIREMENT OF TENSION &amp; COMPRESSION RATING. REJECTED IN GROUP-J DUE TO NON-COMPLIANCE OF CLAUSE # 20 OF TECHNICAL SCORING CRITERIA AS QUOTED SEMI FLUSH CONNECTION DOES NOT CONFORM TO THE TECHNICAL REQUIREMENT OF TENSION &amp; COMPRESSION RATING. REJECTED IN ALL QUOTED CASING GROUPS I.E. GROUPS B, C, D, E, F, G, H, I, &amp; J DUE TO NON-COMPLIANCE OF CLAUSE # 23 OF TECHNICAL SCORING CRITERIA AS PROVIDED DOCUMENTARY EVIDENCE OF ONLY 02 TOTAL TUBULAR MANAGEMENT PROJECTS INSTEAD OF MINIMUM REQUIREMENT OF 03 PROJECTS.</p> <p>OBTAINED SCORES AGAINST QUOTED GROUPS ARE AS UNDER:          GOUPP-B = 69          GROUP-C = 68          GROUP-D = 65          GROUP-E = 70          GROUP-F = 91          GROUP-G = 86          GROUP-H= 71          GROUP-I = 96          GROUP-J = 65</p>
10	C/O MR. MUZAMIL KHAN (M/S TENARIS, URUGUAY)	NO	REJECTED IN ALL GROUPS. BIDDER DOES NOT FULLFILL ANY SINGLE CRITERIA OF MANDATORY REQUIREMENT FOR ACCEPTANCE AND TECHNICAL SCORING POINTS.
11	M/S NUTRISOLVE ENTERPRISES, KARACHI.	NO	REJECTED IN ALL GROUPS. BIDDER DOES NOT FULLFILL ANY SINGLE CRITERIA OF MANDATORY REQUIREMENT FOR ACCEPTANCE AND TECHNICAL SCORING POINTS.

36